Data Transparency Improves Democracy

High-quality democracy requires that the public has equal access to information, including information about election administration. Unfortunately, much election data in the United States is not publicly available. When it is available, it is usually not in a format that can easily be read and analyzed (i.e., not in machine-readable formats), which reduces its usefulness in improving US democracy.

This data inaccessibility has the following consequences:

- Restricts public access to important information about election administration, stifling the public’s ability to assess government undertakings.
- Increases difficulty in assessing government actions, reducing electoral engagement among potential voters.
- Erodes public trust in elections, increasing the potency of disinformation (see here and here) and undermining the electoral process.
- Prevents community organizations from undertaking important election administration research and reduces their ability to increase voter participation.

Greater election data transparency improves the quality of democracy in the following ways:

- Allows voters to ensure they are registered to vote and to rectify any issues with their voter registration, thereby improving voter participation.
- Enables community organizations to conduct research identifying where election administration can be improved while also allowing them to organize voters and increase voter turnout.
- Increases the public’s trust in the election process and decreases the spread of mis- and disinformation, protecting US electoral processes and increasing potential voters’ willingness to engage with the political system.
To improve the quality and accessibility of our democracy, the Center for Science and Democracy at the Union of Concerned Scientists has compiled a list of recommendations that rely on the most up-to-date election science.

Public data belongs to the public. By following the recommendations below regarding election data transparency, election officials can take real and important steps in improving the quality of US democracy.

**Election Science Recommendations on Data Transparency**

**Voter File Maintenance**
Election officials should publicly release inactive registration lists and removal lists at least 30 days prior to registration deadlines and 30 days prior to Election Day.

- Ensure registration records include updated voter contact information to expedite communication and ballot verification.
- Ensure voter files also include registration method, registration status, party registration, and voting method from prior elections.

**Ballot Processing**
Election officials should take the following actions:

- Provide shapefiles (digital geographic files necessary for mapping; see Figure 1 below for an example) to the public so electoral data can be visualized geographically.
- Adopt ballot tracking technology that allows voters who have cast ballots by mail to follow their ballots’ progress.
- Update voter files daily after voting begins and publish this data in a machine-readable (e.g., CSV) format.
- Release election results, including vote counts and under-/over-votes, in a machine-readable format in a timely manner.

**Ballot Curing, Auditing, and Certification**
Administrators, counties, and election officials should take the following actions:

- Release ballot rejection alerts and records of rejected ballots, and recruit community organizations to assist with outreach to validate ballots/correct errors.
- Conduct audits to determine the accuracy of vote counts.
  - Run risk-limiting audits, which review a representative sample of ballots cast.
  - Publish the results of audits prior to election certification.
Figure 1. Visualizing Election Data with Shapefiles

This shapefile of Philadelphia displays the change in presidential vote share from 2016 to 2020 by election precinct.

For more information and further explanation of our recommendations on election data transparency, click here to read the Recommendations for Improving Election Data Transparency White Paper.
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