

# Climate 2030

**A NATIONAL BLUEPRINT FOR A CLEAN ENERGY ECONOMY**



Union of Concerned Scientists

Citizens and Scientists for Environmental Solutions

MAY 2009



# Climate 2030

**A NATIONAL BLUEPRINT FOR A CLEAN ENERGY ECONOMY**

Rachel Cleetus

Steven Clemmer

David Friedman



**Union of Concerned Scientists**

Citizens and Scientists for Environmental Solutions

**MAY 2009**

© 2009 Union of Concerned Scientists  
All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America

**Rachel Cleetus** is an economist with the Union of Concerned Scientists Climate Program.

**Steven Clemmer** is the research director of the Union of Concerned Scientists Clean Energy Program.

**David Friedman** is the research director of the Union of Concerned Scientists Clean Vehicles Program.

The Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS) is the leading science-based nonprofit working for a healthy environment and a safer world.

More information about the Union of Concerned Scientists is available on the UCS website at [www.ucsusa.org](http://www.ucsusa.org).

The full text of this report and additional technical appendices are available on the UCS website ([www.ucsusa.org/blueprint](http://www.ucsusa.org/blueprint)) or may be obtained from:

UCS Publications  
2 Brattle Square  
Cambridge, MA 02238-9105

Or, email [pubs@ucsusa.org](mailto:pubs@ucsusa.org) or call (617) 547-5552.

Designed by:  
DG Communications, Acton, MA  
[www.NonprofitDesign.com](http://www.NonprofitDesign.com)

Printed on recycled paper.

# Table of Contents

Table of Contents	iii
Acknowledgments	viii
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	
<b>A Vision of a Clean Energy Economy and a Climate-Friendly Future</b>	<b>13</b>
1.1. The Climate 2030 Approach	13
1.2. Building on Previous Studies	14
1.3. A Clean Energy Economy: A Solution for Many Challenges	15
1.4. Setting a Target for U.S. Emissions Cuts	15
1.5. 2020 Targets: The Importance of Near-Term Goals	18
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	
<b>Our Approach</b>	<b>21</b>
2.1. Our Model	21
2.2. The Reference Case	22
2.3. The Climate 2030 Blueprint Case	22
2.4. The Blueprint Cap on Global Warming Emissions	23
2.5. The Blueprint Analysis of Energy Efficiency	25
2.6. The Blueprint Analysis of the Biomass Supply Curve	25
2.7. The Bottom Line	26
2.8. Summary of Blueprint Assumptions	26
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	
<b>Putting a Price on Global Warming Emissions</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1. How a Well-Designed Cap-and-Trade Program Works	35
3.2. A Tried-and-Tested Approach	36
3.3. Design for Success	37
3.4. A Cap-and-Trade Policy Alone Is Not Sufficient	41
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	
<b>Where We Work, Live, and Play: Technology for Highly Efficient Industry and Buildings</b>	<b>45</b>
4.1. Energy Efficiency Opportunities in Industry	45
4.2. Energy Efficiency Opportunities in Residential and Commercial Buildings	46



4.3. Potential for Greater Efficiency	49
4.4. Costs of Improving Energy Efficiency	51
4.5. Key Challenges for Improving Energy Efficiency	54
4.6. Key Policies for Improving Energy Efficiency	55
4.7. The Bottom Line	61
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	
<b>Flipping the Switch to Cleaner Electricity</b>	<b>63</b>
5.1. Electricity from Renewable Energy Technologies	63
5.2. Electricity from Fossil Fuels with Carbon Capture and Storage	82
5.3. Electricity from Advanced Nuclear Plants	86
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	
<b>You Can Get There from Here: Transportation</b>	<b>93</b>
6.1. Driving Change: Technologies to Improve Fuel Efficiency and Air Conditioning	94
6.2. Smart Fill-Ups: Switching to Low-Carbon Fuel	103
6.3. The Road Less Traveled: Reducing Vehicle Miles	113
<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	
<b>We Can Do It: Analyzing Solutions to Global Warming</b>	<b>125</b>
7.1. The Reference Case: Significant Growth in Carbon Emissions	126
7.2. The Big Picture: The Blueprint Cuts Carbon Emissions, Saves Money, and Reduces Energy Use	126
<b>Major Findings of the Climate 2030 Blueprint</b>	<b>127</b>
7.3. Detailed Results: The Blueprint Cap-and-Trade Program	133
7.4. Detailed Results: The Electricity Sector	137
7.5. Detailed Results: Industry and Buildings	141
7.6. Detailed Results: Transportation	146
7.7. Land-Use Implications of the Blueprint	153
7.8. Sectoral Policies Are Essential for a Cost-Effective Blueprint	155
7.9. Economic, Energy, Health, and Global Benefits of Strong U.S. Climate Policies	162
7.10. Limitations, Uncertainties, and Opportunities for Future Research	163
<b>Cultivating a Cooler Climate: Solutions That Tap Our Forests and Farmland</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>CHAPTER 8</b>	
<b>The Way Forward</b>	<b>171</b>
8.1. Building Block One: A Well-Designed Cap-and-Trade Policy	171
8.2. Building Block Two: More Efficient Industries and Buildings	174
8.3. Building Block Three: A Clean Future for Electricity	175
8.4. Building Block Four: A Smarter, Cleaner Transportation System	175
8.5. Building Block Five: International Policies	178
8.6. Conclusion	180

## FIGURES, TABLES, AND BOXES

### Figures

ES.1. The Sources of U.S. Heat-Trapping Emissions in 2005	1
ES.2. Net Cuts in Global Warming Emissions under the Climate 2030 Blueprint	3
ES.3. Net Consumer and Business Savings (by Census Region in 2030, in 2006 dollars)	4
ES.4. Net Cumulative Savings (2010–2030)	6
ES.5. The Source of Cuts in Global Warming Emissions in 2030 (Blueprint case vs. Reference case)	7
ES.6. The Source of Savings in 2030 (Blueprint case vs. Reference case)	8
1.1. The Sources of U.S. Heat-Trapping Emissions in 2005	14
1.2. The Risks of Climate Change: The “Burning Embers” Diagram	17
2.1. National Energy Modeling System (NEMS)	21
2.2. U.S. Emissions Cuts under the Blueprint Cap	23
4.1. Residential and Commercial Energy Use	47
4.2. Efficiency Helps Meet U.S. Energy Demand	50
4.3. The Energy Savings and Costs of Efficiency Programs	51
5.1. U.S. Electricity Generation by Source (2007)	63
5.2. Installed Wind Power Capacity (2009)	65
5.3. The Potential of Concentrating Solar Power	71
5.4. How Enhanced Geothermal Systems Work	72
5.5. Geothermal Potential	73
5.6. Bioenergy Potential	74
5.7. Declining Cost of Renewable Electricity (levelized cost of electricity, in cents per kilowatt-hour)	76
5.8. Cost of Electricity from Various Sources (2015) (levelized cost of electricity, in 2006 dollars per megawatt-hour)	77
5.9. How Carbon Capture and Storage Works	84
5.10. Nuclear Power Plant Construction Costs Rising Faster than Other Technologies	88
6.1. The Sources of Transportation Heat-Trapping Emissions (2005)	93
6.2. Fuel Economy Potential for Cars, Minivans, SUVs, and Pickups	95
6.3. Petroleum Use in Transportation (2005)	103
7.1. Net Cuts in Global Warming Emissions under the Climate 2030 Blueprint	125
7.2. The Source of Cuts in Global Warming Emissions in 2030 (Blueprint case vs. Reference case)	128
7.3. The Source of Savings in 2030 (Blueprint case vs. Reference case)	130
7.4. Net Consumer and Business Savings (by Census Region in 2030, in 2006 dollars)	131
7.5. Demand for Petroleum Products	133
7.6. Bioenergy Use (Blueprint case vs. Reference case)	134
7.7. Actual Emissions Compared with Cap Emissions (Blueprint results vs. model input, 2000–2030)	135
7.8. Prices of Carbon Allowances and Offsets under the Climate 2030 Blueprint	136
7.9. Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Power Plants	137
7.10. Sources of Electricity (Reference case vs. Blueprint case)	138
7.11. Blueprint Renewable Electricity Mix (2030)	139
7.12. Transportation Carbon Emissions (Reference case vs. Blueprint case)	147
7.13. Changes in Transportation Carbon Emissions (Reference case)	147
7.14. Transportation Carbon Emissions (2030)	148

7.15. Changes in Transportation Carbon Emissions (Blueprint case vs. Reference case)	150
7.16. Mix of Alternative Fuels under the Climate 2030 Blueprint	151
7.17. Total Land-Use Effects of Renewable Electricity, Biofuels, Avoided Coal Mining, and Afforestation Offsets in 2030 (Blueprint case)	156
7.18. Prices of Carbon Allowances	158
7.19. The Source of Cuts in Global Warming Emissions in 2030 (No Complementary Policies case vs. Reference case)	159
7.20. U.S. Energy Use (Blueprint case vs. No Complementary Policies case)	159
7.21. Net Cumulative Savings (2010–2030)	160
8.1. Choosing a Clean Energy Economy	181

### Tables

ES.1. Annual Consumer and Business Savings (in billions of 2006 dollars)	7
3.1. Yearly Caps on U.S. Global Warming Emissions under the Climate 2030 Blueprint	41
4.1. Energy Efficiency Potential (percent reduction in energy use)	50
4.2. Energy Savings in Buildings and Industry from Blueprint Policies	55
4.3. Key Policies for Improving the Energy Efficiency of Industry and Buildings	60
5.1. Technical Potential for Producing U.S. Electricity from Renewable Sources	68
5.2. Proposed U.S. Offshore Wind Projects (2007)	69
6.1. Fuel Economy Potential and Costs Used in the Climate 2030 Blueprint	97
6.2. Standards for Vehicle Global Warming Emissions	101
6.3. A Look at Cellulosic Ethanol in 2030	105
6.4. Potential of Advanced Vehicles and Fuels	112
6.5. Potential for Reducing Vehicle Miles Traveled	120
7.1. Annual Blueprint Savings (in billions of 2006 dollars)	129
7.2. Comparison of U.S. Energy Use (Blueprint case vs. Reference case, in quadrillion Btu)	132
7.3. Water Savings in Electricity Generation (2030) (Blueprint case vs. Reference case)	142
7.4. Annual Consumer and Business Savings from Transportation (in billions of 2006 dollars)	148
7.5. Land Needed for Renewable Electricity, Biofuels, and Afforestation Offsets (2030)	157

### Boxes

ES.1. The Climate 2030 Approach	2
ES.2. Climate 2030 Blueprint Policies	5
ES.3. Beyond the Climate 2030 Blueprint—Technologies for Our Future	9
ES.4. Impact of the Blueprint Policies in 2020	11
1.1. Causes and Effects of Global Warming	16
1.2. Success Story: Reinventing Pittsburgh as a Green City	18
2.1. Understanding Market Barriers to Climate Solutions	24
3.1. Climate 2030 Blueprint Modeling Assumptions: Cap-and-Trade Program	40
3.2. How It Works: Cap and Trade	42
4.1. Success Story: The Two-Fer: How Midwesterners Are Saving Money while Cutting Carbon Emissions	52
4.2. Success Story: Three Companies Find Efficiency a Profitable Business Strategy	58
4.3. The Many Faces of Energy Efficiency	61



---

5.1. Success Story: The Little Country that Could	66
5.2. Success Story: Surprises in the Desert	70
5.3. Technologies on the Horizon: Renewable Energy	78
5.4. Key Assumptions for Technologies Used to Produce Electricity	90
5.5. Key Assumptions for Electricity Policies	91
6.1. The Advantages of Regulating Vehicle Emissions versus Fuel Economy	100
6.2. Promising Policies the Blueprint Case Did Not Include	102
6.3. Success Story: Jump-Starting Tomorrow's Biofuels	108
6.4. Success Story: It Takes an Urban Village to Reduce Carbon Emissions	118
6.5. Technologies and Other Options on the Horizon: Transportation	122
7.1. Climate 2030 Blueprint Policies	126
7.2. Public Health and Environmental Benefits of Reduced Coal Use	140
7.3. The Perfect Storm of Climate, Energy, and Water	142
7.4. Success Story: Some Good News in Hard Times	144
7.5. Success Story: The Early Feats and Promising Future of Hybrid-Electric Vehicles	152
7.6. Impact of the Blueprint Policies in 2020	155
7.7. Success Story: Farmers and Fungi: Climate Change Heroes at the Rodale Institute	169
8.1. How We Can Cut Emissions More than One-Third by 2020	173
8.2. How It Works: REDD	179

# Acknowledgments

The production of this report was made possible in part through the generous support of Avocet Charitable Lead Unitrust, David and Leigh Bangs, The Educational Foundation of America, The Energy Foundation, Foundation M, Bernard F. and Alva B. Gimbel Foundation, Ben and Ruth Hammett, The William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, The Dirk and Charlene Kabcenell Foundation, Korein Foundation, The John Merck Fund, Oak Foundation, Scherman Foundation, Inc., NoraLee and Jon Sedmark, Wallace Genetic Foundation, Inc., and Wallace Global Fund.

For independent expert review of the report, the authors thank Frank Ackerman (Stockholm Environment Institute–U.S. Center), Jeff Alson (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), Doug Arent (National Renewable Energy Laboratory), Lynn Billman (National Renewable Energy Laboratory), Peter Bradford (Vermont Law School), John Byrne (Center for Energy and Environmental Policy, University of Delaware), Duncan Callaway (Center for Sustainable Systems, University of Michigan), Elizabeth Doris (National Renewable Energy Laboratory), Paul R. Epstein (Center for Health and the Global Environment, Harvard Medical School), John German (International Council on Clean Transportation), Jeffery Greenblatt (Google.org), Christopher A. James (Synapse Energy Economics), Erin Kasoy (The Alliance for Climate Protection), David Kline (National Renewable Energy Laboratory), Chuck Kutscher (National Renewable Energy Laboratory), Daniel A. Lashof (Natural Resources Defense Council), Brenda Lin (American Association for the Advancement of Science), Thomas R. Mancini (Sandia National Laboratories), Jason Mark (The Energy Foundation), William Moomaw (Fletcher School, Tufts University), Dean M. Murphy, Brian Murray (Nicholas Institute, Duke University), Gregory Nemet (University of Wisconsin–Madison), Joan Ogden (University of California–Davis), Steven E. Plotkin (Argonne National Lab), William H. Schlesinger (Cary Institute of Ecosystem Studies), Monisha Shah (National Renewable Energy Laboratory), Daniel Sperling (University of California–Davis), Laura Vimmerstedt (National Renewable Energy Laboratory), and Michael P. Walsh (international consultant).

We also thank Marilyn A. Brown (Georgia Institute of Technology), Ryan Wiser (Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory), and several anonymous independent experts for their thoughtful comments during a preliminary presentation of our results.

*Organizational affiliations are listed for identification purposes only.  
The opinions expressed in this report are solely the responsibility of the authors.*

Modeling support was provided by OnLocation, Inc. John “Skip” Laitner and others at the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy provided an analysis of the impact of greater energy efficiency in industry and buildings. Marie Walsh at the University of Tennessee provided an analysis of biomass potential. We also thank Nora Greenglass and Richard A. Houghton (Woods Hole Research Center) and Steven Rose (Global Climate Change Research Group, Electric Power Research Institute) for providing expertise in the agriculture and forestry sectors.

This report is the result of years of dedication from a large interdisciplinary team at UCS. The authors thank the combined leadership of Nancy Cole, Peter Frumhoff, Kevin Knobloch, Alden Meyer, Alan Noguee, Lance Pierce, Kathleen Rest, Michelle Robinson, Suzanne Shaw, and Lexi Shultz. Several other UCS experts provided analytic and technical support throughout the process, including but not limited to Don Anair, Doug Boucher, Brenda Ekwurzel, Melanie Fitzpatrick, Kristen Graf, Jeremy Martin, Margaret Mellon, Patricia Monahan, and John Rogers. We wish to give a special thanks to energy analysts Jeff Deyette and Sandra Sattler for their significant analytic support, technical contributions, and constant troubleshooting. Policy expertise, guidance, and additional contributions were provided by Kate Abend, Ron Burke, Christopher Busch, Cliff Chen, Barbara Freese, Eli Hopson, Aaron Huertas, Jim Kliesch, Rouwenna Lamm, Ben Larson, Claudio Martinez, Lena Moffitt, Scott Nathanson, Lisa Nurnberger, Liz Martin Perera, Spencer Quong, Ned Reynolds, Emily Robinson, Erin Rogers, Jean Sideris, Ellen Vancko, Marchant Wentworth, Laura Wisland, and former UCS vehicles engineer Don MacKenzie.

Bryan Wadsworth and Heather Tuttle helped to coordinate the production process, and we thank Sandra Hackman for her tremendous editing work within a tight time frame, and David Gerratt of DG Communications for his design of the report.

Thank you to Julie Ringer for her administrative and production assistance, and to Eric Misbach for his support and assistance to Rachel Cleetus and the UCS leadership in managing this complex project.