

Citations for *Fukushima: The Story of a Nuclear Disaster*

Chapter 1. “A Situation That We Had Never Imagined”

Page

- 1 **uncertain where safety actually lay:** The Guardian, ‘Earthquake shakes MPs in Japan’s parliament – video,’ March 11, 2011.
<http://www.theguardian.com/world/video/2011/mar/11/earthquake-mps-japan-parliament-video>
- 1 **televising the Kan hearing:** C21 Media, “NHK’s Rapid Response,” (no date).
<http://www.nhk.or.jp/japan311/c21-01-nhks.html>
- 1 **along the northeastern coast:** Hiroo Kanamori, “Earthquake Hazards: Putting seismic research to the most effective use,” *Nature* 483, 147-148 (March 8, 2012).
<http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v483/n7388/full/483147a.html>

and Jean Kumagai, “In Japan Earthquake, Early Warnings Helped,” *IEEE Spectrum*, posted March 15, 2011. <http://spectrum.ieee.org/tech-talk/computing/networks/japans-earthquake-earlywarning-system-worked>
- 2 **world’s largest earthquakes:** Richard Monastersky, “Giant shock rattles ideas about quake behaviour,” *Nature* 471, 271 (2011).
<http://www.nature.com/news/2011/110315/full/471274a.html>
- 3 **seam of more than 180 miles:** Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, Special Earthquake Report , “The Japan Tohoku Tsunami of March 11, 2011” (November 2011).
<http://www.eqclearinghouse.org/2011-03-11-sendai/files/2011/11/Japan-eq-report-tsunami2.pdf>
- 4 **estimate its magnitude as fast:** Kanomori, “Earthquake Hazards: Putting seismic research to the most effective use.”
<http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v483/n7388/full/483147a.html>
- 4 **underestimate the size of an earthquake:** David Cyranoski, “Japan’s earthquake warning system fails again,” *Nature*, (May 14, 2008).
<http://www.nature.com/news/2008/080514/full/news.2008.824.html>

Chapter 1. “A Situation That We Had Never Imagined”

Page

- 4 David Cyranoski, “Japan Faces Up to Failure of Its Earthquake Preparations,” *Scientific American* (March 29, 2011).
<http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=japan-faces-up-to-failure-of-earthquake>
- 4 Michael Reilly and Andy Coghlan, “A measured disaster: Following quakes in fine detail,” *New Scientist* (March 16, 2011).
<http://www.newscientist.com/article/dn20251-a-measured-disaster-following-quakes-in-fine-detail.html>
- 4 **power a city the size of Los Angeles:** CBS News, “Japan quake aftershocks could go on for years,” (March 12, 2011). http://www.cbsnews.com/8301-500188_162-20042429/japan-quake-aftershocks-could-go-on-for-years/
- 5 **shutdown done by the book:** David Lochbaum, “Fukushima Dai-Ichi Unit 1: The first 30 minutes,” Union of Concerned Scientists (May 24, 2011).
http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/nuclear_power/fukushima-daiichi-ucs-analysis-unit-1-first-30-minutes.pdf
- 9 **nature’s first assault:** A wide range of videos of the earthquake and tsunami are available online. Among them is a collection of videos posted in April 2011 by the American Geophysical Union. (<http://blogs.agu.org/tremblingearth/2011/04/18/japanese-videos-of-the-tohoku-earthquake-and-tsunami/>)
- 9 **swept away entire villages:** Julyan H.E. Cartwright and Hisami Makamura, “Tsunami: a history of the term and of scientific understanding of the phenomenon in Japanese and Western culture,” *Notes & Records of The Royal Society*, vol. 62, no. 2, 151-166 (June 2008).
<http://rsnr.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/62/2/151.full>
- 9 **twice the area of Manhattan:** Joanna M. Foster, “Japan’s Tsunami Gave Birth to Faraway Icebergs,” *New York Times*, August 10, 2011.
<http://green.blogs.nytimes.com/2011/08/10/japans-tsunami-gave-birth-to-faraway-icebergs/>
- 10 **attributed to the tsunami:** Earthquake Engineering Research Institute, Special Earthquake Report, “The Japan Tohhoku Tsunami of March 11, 2011” (November 2011).
<http://www.eqclearinghouse.org/2011-03-11-sendai/files/2011/11/Japan-eq-report-tsunami2.pdf>
- 13 **Yoshida would say later:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, Interim Report (December 26, 2011), p. 141.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>

Chapter 1. “A Situation That We Had Never Imagined”

Page

- 14 **dangerous vulnerability:** U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, memo from S.H. Hanauer to J.F. O’Leary, F.E. Kruesi, and L. Rogers, September 20, 1972, “Pressure-Suppression Containments.”
- 16 **clearly spelled out:** Phred Dvorak and Peter Landers, “Japanese Plant Had Barebones Risk Plan,” *Wall Street Journal*, March 31, 2011.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703712504576232961004646464.html>
- 16 **it is practically unthinkable:** Phred Dvorak and Peter Landers, “Japanese Plant Had Barebones Risk Plan,” *Wall Street Journal*, March 31, 2011.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703712504576232961004646464.html>
- 18 **TEPCO issued a press release:** Tokyo Electric Power Company, “The Effect of Earthquake Occurred in the Northern Part of Japan (as of 4:30 pm today)” (March 11, 2011).
<http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/11031101-e.html>
- 18 **TEPCO officially notified the government:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 114-115.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- The Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company, established by the Cabinet in May, 2011, and chaired by Yotaro Hatamura, professor emeritus of the University of Tokyo, released two reports, an interim report, issued December 26, 2011, and a final report, issued July 23, 2012.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/final-report.html>
- As noted in the final report (p. 4), the interim report reflects what was known to date and should be regarded as complementary to the final, report, which includes additional information obtained after December, 2011.
- 20 **without the benefit of training or instructions:** Yoichi Funabashi and Kay Kitazawa, “Fukushima in review: A complex disaster, a disastrous response,” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, 68:9-21 (March/April 2012).
- 22 **no safety provisions remaining:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, Interim Report, December 26, 2011, p. 407.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 22 **There have been no confirmed radioactivity:** Tokyo Electric Power Company, “Occurrence of a Specific Incident Stipulated in Article 10, Clause 1 of the Act on Special Measures Concerning Nuclear Emergency Preparedness (Fukushima Daiichi)” (March 11, 2011).
<http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/11031102-e.html>

Chapter 1. “A Situation That We Had Never Imagined”

Page

- 23 **focus was on saving lives:** “Naoto Kan: `Japan Was Invaded by an Invisible Enemy,” *Frontline*, “Inside Japan’s Nuclear Meltdown,” February 28, 2012.
<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/health-science-technology/japans-nuclear-meltdown/naoto-kan-japan-was-invaded-by-an-invisible-enemy/>
- 23 **there is no radiation leak:** Eric Talmadge and Mari Yamaguchi, “How first 24 hours shaped Japan’s nuclear crisis,” Associated Press, July 3, 2011.
<http://news.yahoo.com/first-24-hours-shaped-japans-nuclear-crisis-060035933.html>
- 24 **core now was exposed and most likely melting:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 165.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 24 **told to leave immediately:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 302.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 24 **adequate time to decide a course of action:** “Yotaro Hatamura: Was Fukushima an Accident Waiting to Happen?” *Frontline*, February 28, 2012.
<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/health-science-technology/japans-nuclear-meltdown/yotaro-hatamura-was-fukushima-an-accident-waiting-to-happen/>
- 25 **a scenario no accident drill had covered:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 163.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 26 **choosing their words carefully:** “Naoto Kan: `Japan was Invaded by an Invisible Enemy,” *Frontline*, February 28, 2012.
<http://www.pbs.org/whttp://www.japantimes.co.jp/text/nn20110620a2.htmlggbh/pages/frontline/health-science-technology/japans-nuclear-meltdown/naoto-kan-japan-was-invaded-by-an-invisible-enemy/>
- 30 **I don’t know the reason:** “Naoto Kan: `Japan was Invaded by an Invisible Enemy,” *Frontline*, February 28, 2012.
<http://www.pbs.org/whttp://www.japantimes.co.jp/text/nn20110620a2.htmlggbh/pages/frontline/health-science-technology/japans-nuclear-meltdown/naoto-kan-japan-was-invaded-by-an-invisible-enemy/>
- 31 **venting could now begin:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 175-176.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>

Chapter 1. “A Situation That We Had Never Imagined”

Page

- 33 **not sure exactly what had blown up:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 244-246.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>

Chapter 2. “This may get really ugly...”

Page

- 34 **Monitoring Mode:** Gregory B. Jaczko, “Communications Challenges and the Japan Response,” U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (January 10, 2012).
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1201/ML120110038.pdf>
- 35 **safe and lucky this time:** Email from S. Lu to J. Donoghue, A. Ulses, A. Mendiola, F. Asktulewicz, C. Ader, M. Lombard, W. Ruland, S. Bahadur, R. Landry, P. Clifford, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (March 11, 2011), p. 8.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1123/ML11238A092.pdf>
- 35 **really big deal:** Email from A. Hoffman to B. Wagner, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (March 11, 2011), p. 25.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1118/ML11186A916.pdf>
- 36 **really ugly in the next few days:** email from P. Qualls to E. McCann, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (March 11, 2011), p. 74.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1117/ML11175A278.pdf>
- 36 **Is this safety bad or economics bad?** Email from M. Eddy to B. Wagner, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (March 11, 2011), p. 24.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1118/ML11186A916.pdf>
- 36 **Both:** Email from B. Wagner to M. Eddy, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (March 11, 2011), p. 24. <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML11186A916>
- 37 **This wasn’t the first time:** There are several accounts of the 1999 accident in Tokaimura. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “NRC Review of the Tokai-Mura Criticality Accident” (April 2000). (<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/secys/2000/secy2000-0085/attachment1.pdf>)
- International Atomic Energy Agency, 1999, “Report on the preliminary fact finding mission following the accident at the nuclear fuel processing facility in Tokaimura, Japan” (1999). (http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/TOAC_web.pdf)
- Edwin Lyman and Steven Dolley, “Accident Prone: The Trouble at Tokai-Mura” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, Vol. 56, Issue 2 (March/April 2000), p. 42-46.

Chapter 2. “This may get really ugly...”

Page

- Koichi Hasegawa and Yuko Takubo, Citizens’ Nuclear Information Center, “JCO Criticality Accident and Local Residents: Damages, Symptoms and Changing Attitudes,” The JCO Criticality Accident Comprehensive Assessment Committee (June 2001). (http://www.cnic.jp/english/publications/pdffiles/jco_residents_font.pdf)
- 38 **safety of nuclear power:** “Perils of inadequacies in safety regulation,” *Nature*, 401 Issue no. 6753 (October 7, 1999), p. 513.
- 38 **enormous sums from Treasury coffers:** Laura E. Hein, “Fuelling Growth: The Energy Revolution and Economic Policy in Japan,” Harvard University Asia Center, (1990), p. 52.
- 39 **too cheap to meter:** Lewis Strauss, president, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, speech to National Association of Science Writers, New York , New York (September 16, 1954).
- 39 **lasting monument to our technology:** “Nuclear Reactor Urged for Japan,” *New York Times*, September 22, 1954.
- 39 **two manufacturers had loaned Japan \$6.1 million:** “International Bank to Lend Japan \$40,200,000 for Power Growth,” *New York Times*, October 16, 1953.
- 40 **In 1958, the governor of Fukushima Prefecture:** Hiroshi Onitsuka, “Hooked on Nuclear Power: Japanese State-Local Relations and the Vicious Cycle of Nuclear Dependence,” *The Asia Pacific Journal*, Vol. 10, Issue 3 No. 1 (January 16, 2012). <http://www.japanfocus.org/-Hiroshi-Onitsuka/3677>
- 40 **world’s largest privately owned utility:** Peter Pringle and James Spigelman, “The Nuclear Barons,” Holt, Rinehart & Winston (New York), 1981.
- 40 **a financial bonanza:** Hiroshi Onitsuka, “Hooked on Nuclear Power: Japanese State-Local Relations and the Vicious Cycle of Nuclear Dependence,” *The Asia Pacific Journal: Japan Focus*, Vol. 10, Issue 3 No. 1 (January 16, 2012). <http://www.japanfocus.org/-Hiroshi-Onitsuka/3677>
- 41 **lose their function simultaneously:** Katsuhiko Ishibashi, “Genpatsu-Shinsai: Catastrophic Multiple Disaster of Earthquake and Quake-induced Nuclear Accident Anticipated in the Japanese Islands,” presented to the 23rd General Assembly of the International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics, 2003, Sapporo, Japan, p. 9.
docs.google.com/viewer?a=v&q=cache:03SSMZ241KIJ:historical.seismology.jp/ishibashi/opinion/0307IUGG_slides.pdf+&hl=en&gl=us&pid=bl&srcid=ADGEESiWVzN3Rsi4Qb6mhuRiJb0USRBqc6CFE_4X0Mc2_WLASgtQ-gnKz592YVgZFx9rjcrNz3G-HxHy1ZkiZ5Gdfv7IS9ta4PIuMZ5potzNLU51wGivgl1f9Fp55jjWMQI9859pVxeD&sig=AHIEtbTZTpf1UE_c87bsl_zO96nN7RI_Rw&pli=1
- Jason Clenfield, “Vindicated Seismologist Says Japan Still Underestimates Threat to Reactors,” *Bloomberg News*, November 21, 2011. (<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2011-11-21/nuclear-regulator-dismissed-seismologist-on-japan-quake-threat.html>)

Chapter 2. “This may get really ugly...”

Page

- 42 **make it impossible to ever build anything:** Norimitsu Onishi and Martin Fackler, “Japanese Officials Ignored or Concealed Dangers,” *New York Times*, May 16, 2011. <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/05/17/world/asia/17japan.html?pagewanted=all>
- 42 **not be described as “unexpected”:** Ishibashi Katsuhiko, “Why Worry? Japan’s Nuclear Plants at Grave Risk from Quake Damage,” published in the *International Herald Tribune* and *Asahi Shimbun*, August 11, 2007, posted on *The Asia-Pacific Journal: Japan Focus*, August 11, 2007. <http://www.japanfocus.org/-Ishibashi-Katsuhiko/2495>
- 42 **decisions were overturned:** Norimitsu Onishi and Martin Fackler, “Japanese Officials Ignored or Concealed Dangers,” *New York Times*, May 16, 2011. <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/05/17/world/asia/17japan.html?pagewanted=all>
- 42 **we could have prevented Fukushima:** Hiroko Tabuchi, “Japan Asks Another Nuclear Plant to Shut Down Its Reactors,” *New York Times*, May 6, 2011. http://www.nytimes.com/2011/05/07/world/asia/07japan.html?_r=1
- 42 **Ever since seismology has been studied:** Robert J. Geller, “Earthquake Prediction: A critical review,” *Geophysical Journal International*, 131, 425-450 (1997), p. 425.
- 43 **like hogs to a full trough:** Letter from C.F. Richter, published in the *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America*, Vol. 67 (August, 1977), p. 1244-1247.
- 43 **directed toward a single event:** Kiyoo Mogi, “Two grave issues concerning the expected Tokai Earthquake,” *Earth Planets Space*, Vol. 56, li-lxvi (2004). <http://www.terrapub.co.jp/journals/EPS/pdf/2004/5608/5608li.pdf>
- 44 **occur at predictable intervals:** Johannis Nöggerath, Robert J. Geller, Viacheslav K. Gusiakov, “Fukushima: the myth of safety, the reality of geoscience,” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, September 1, 2011. <http://thebulletin.org/2011/september/fukushima-myth-safety-reality-geoscience>
- 44 **concept of hazard mapping:** Joel Achenbach, “Seismic hazards: Japan earthquake and other tectonic surprises challenge scientific assumptions,” by Joel Achenbach, *Washington Post*, March 9, 2012. http://www.washingtonpost.com/national/health-science/seismic-hazards-japan-earthquake-and-other-tectonic-surprises-challenge-scientific-assumptions/2012/03/09/g1QAoV291R_story.html
- 45 **accident at Three Mile Island Unit 2:** An account of events at Three Mile Island, “Backgrounder on the Three Mile Island Accident,” prepared by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, can be found here: <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/fact-sheets/3mile-isle.html> (2013)

Chapter 2. “This may get really ugly...”

Page

- 45 **safety test on the Unit 4 reactor:** An account of events at the Chernobyl plant, “Chernobyl Accident 1986,” prepared by the World Nuclear Association, can be found here: <http://www.world-nuclear.org/info/Safety-and-Security/Safety-of-Plants/Chernobyl-Accident/#.Ulf0OGRUMrg> (2013)
- Additional information, “Backgrounder on Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant Accident, prepared by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, can be found here: <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/fact-sheets/chernobyl-bg.html> (2013)
- 46 **no perfect technology:** “Learn lessons from world’s worse nuclear disaster,” *Daily Yomiuri*, April 27, 2011. http://editorialscollections24.blogspot.com/2011/04/editorial-daily-yomiuri-japan_27.html
- 47 **national policy run by the private sector:** “Nuclear Crisis: How It Happened: ‘Nuclear power village’ a cozy, closed community,” *Daily Yomiuri*, June 16, 2011. <http://archive.is/niIyv>
- 47 **thirteen former officials:** “Nuclear Crisis: How It Happened: ‘Nuclear power village’ a cozy, closed community,” *Daily Yomiuri*, June 16, 2011. <http://archive.is/niIyv>
- 47 **Japan’s Asahi Shimbun reported:** “Nuclear watchdog members received donations from energy sector,” *Asahi Shimbun*, January 2, 2012. <http://ajw.asahi.com/article/0311disaster/fukushima/AJ201201020044>
- 47 **caught seismologists by surprise:** Joel Achenback, “Seismic hazards: Japan earthquake and other tectonic surprises challenge scientific assumptions,” *Washington Post*, March 9, 2012. http://www.washingtonpost.com/national/health-science/seismic-hazards-japan-earthquake-and-other-tectonic-surprises-challenge-scientific-assumptions/2012/03/09/gIAoV291R_story.html
- 48 **guidelines were full of loopholes:** Jason Clenfield and Shigeru Sato, “Japan Nuclear Energy Drive Compromised by Conflicts of Interest,” *Bloomberg News*, December 12, 2007. <http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&sid=awR8KsLIACSo>
- 48 **Sugaoka was fired:** Norimitsu Onishi and Ken Belson, “Culture of Complicity Tied to Stricken Nuclear Plant,” *New York Times*, April 26, 2011. <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/04/27/world/asia/27collusion.html?pagewanted=all>
- 48 **falsifying safety records for years:** “Revelation of Endless N-damage Cover-ups: the ‘TEPCO scandal’ and the adverse trend of easing inspection standards,” Citizens’ Nuclear Information Center, No. 92 (November/December, 2002). <http://www.nirs.org/reactorwatch/accidents/nit92.pdf>

Chapter 2. “This may get really ugly...”

Page

- 48 **gravest crisis since the company was established:** “Tepco admits leak tightness test falsification,” *Nuclear Engineering International*, November 3, 2002.
<http://www.neimagazine.com/news/newstepco-admits-leaktightness-test-falsification>
- 49 **“Not again!”:** “Not again’: Yet Another TEPCO Scandal,” Citizens’ Nuclear Information Center, Tokyo (no date)
<http://www.cnic.jp/english/newsletter/nit117/nit117articles/nit117tepco.html>
- 49 **unreported safety problems:** Jason Clenfield and Shigeru Sato, “Japan Nuclear Energy Drive Compromised by Conflicts of Interest,” *Bloomberg News*, December 12, 2007.
<http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&sid=awR8KsLIACSo>
- 49 **passing the inspections became the objective:** “Not again’: Yet Another TEPCO Scandal,” Citizens’ Nuclear Information Center, Tokyo (no date).
<http://www.cnic.jp/english/newsletter/nit117/nit117articles/nit117tepco.html>
- 50 **built to withstand a smaller quake:** David Cyranoski, “Japanese nuclear reactor under-designed for earthquake?” *Nature*, July 17, 2007.
<http://www.nature.com/news/2007/070716/full/news070716-3.html>
- 50 **Nuclear power can only operate:** Martin Fackler, “Japan Shuts Nuclear Plant After Leak,” *New York Times*, July 18, 2007.
<http://query.nytimes.com/gst/fullpage.html?res=9F00E0DC1731F93BA25754C0A9619C8B63>
- 50 **less than expected:** IAEA Issues Report on Kashiwazaki-Kariwa Nuclear Plant, International Atomic Energy Agency, August 17, 2007.
http://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/2007/kashiwazaki-kariwa_report.html (link to full report available here)
- 50 **an earthquake large enough to threaten the reactors:** “TEPCO: Active fault found in seabed of nuclear plant,” *Daily Yomiuri*, December 7, 2007.
- 51 **Reducing the frequency of inspections:** Tokyo Electric Power Company Annual Report 2010.
<http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/corpinfo/ir/tool/annual/pdf/ar2010-e.pdf>
- 51 **lowered the height of the bluff:** Chester Dawson and Yuka Hayashi, “Fateful Move Exposed Japan Plant,” *Wall Street Journal*, July 12, 2011.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702303982504576425312941820794.html>
- 51 **This is all we saw:** Yuri Kageyama and Justin Pritchard, “Fukushima tsunami plan a single page,” Associated Press, May 27, 2011.
http://www.salon.com/2011/05/27/as_japan_earthquake_tsunami_risk/

Chapter 2. “This may get really ugly...”

Page

- 52 **TEPCO paid no attention:** David Nakamura and Chico Harlan, “Japanese nuclear plant’s safety analysts brushed off risk of tsunami,” *Washington Post*, March 23, 2011.
http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/japanese-nuclear-plants-evaluators-cast-aside-threat-of-tsunami/2011/03/22/AB7Rf2KB_story.html
- 53 **socially acceptable:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, Interim Report, (December 26, 2011) p. 469.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 54 **This is not our event:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 11, 2011, p. 216.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A099.pdf>
- 54 **initial short duration pulse:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, March 12, 2011, p. 32.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 54 **My dog woke me up:** Email from R. Hardies to M. Mitchell, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, p. 94.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1117/ML11175A275.pdf>

Chapter 3. “What the hell is going on?”

Page

- 55 **another earthquake:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, interim report, p. 244
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/120224Honbun04Eng.pdf>
- 55 **explosion replayed on TV screens:** NHK World, “Explosion at Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Plant,” March 12, 2011.
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=j1dHwc476SQ>
- 55 **The fuel had started melting:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, interim report, p. 169
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/120224Honbun04Eng.pdf>
- 57 **finally reached safety:** Eric Talmadge and Mari Yamaguchi, “AP Impact: Japan ignored own radiation forecasts,” Associated Press, August 9, 2011.
<http://news.yahoo.com/ap-impact-japan-ignored-own-radiation-forecasts-045726583.html>
- 58 **ominous predictions about the danger:** Yuka Hayashi, “How Japan Stumbled in Forecasting Fallout in One Town,” *Wall Street Journal*, August 16, 2011.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304567604576453342206030686.html>

Chapter 3. “What the hell is going on?”

Page

- 58 **a release of the predictions:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, Interim Report, (December 26, 2011), p. 302.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 59 **a mere weather report:** Eric Talmadge and Mari Yamaguchi, “Japan ignored own radiation forecasts,” Associated Press, August 8, 2011.
<http://news.yahoo.com/ap-impact-japan-ignored-own-radiation-forecasts-045726583.html>
- 59 **no inkling they were at risk:** Yuka Hayashi, “How Japan Stumbled in Forecasting Fallout in One Town,” *Wall Street Journal*, August 16, 2011.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304567604576453342206030686.html>
- 59 **struggling to figure out what was happening:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 306.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 59 **“unprecedented crisis”:** Prime Minister Naoto Kan, press conference (video), Tokyo, March 12, 2011.
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nE3j3FLeJxM&feature=relmfu>
- 59 **“Please remain calm”:** Chief Cabinet Secretary Yukio Edano, press conference (video), Tokyo March 12, 2011.
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BOAywW6ODAc>
- 59 **Absent from this briefing:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, Interim Report (December 26, 2011), p. 415-418.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 59 **The condition of the core:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, Interim Report (December 26, 2011), p. 417.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 60 **The likelihood cannot be denied:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, Interim Report (December 26, 2011), p. 417-418.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 60 **the news never made it upstairs to Kan’s office:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 194.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>

Chapter 3. “What the hell is going on?”

Page

- 61 **do not stop it:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, Interim Report (December 26, 2011), p. 198.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 61 **laboring to learn more:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, p. 23.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 62 **It was a significant detail:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, p. 37.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 63 **Nuclear power plants are built:** “NRC continues to track earthquake and tsunami issues,” U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission press release No. 11-043, March 11, 2011.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1107/ML110700697.pdf>
- 63 **slippery slope:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, p. 155.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 63 **sanitize our Q’s and A’s and keep it within the federal family:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, p. 170.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 64 **tell their labs to cool it:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, p. 160.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 64 **do more with the states:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, p. 181.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 64 **I think...it’s inevitable:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, p. 182.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 64 **[I]f we’re trying to restrict the information:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, p. 205.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 64 **And avoid answering questions:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, p. 206.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 65 **Don’t...scratch the itch:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, p. 207.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>

Chapter 3. “What the hell is going on?”

Page

- 65 **could not be restarted:** INPO “Special Report on the Nuclear Accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station,” November, 2011, p. 29.
http://www.nei.org/corporatesite/media/filefolder/11_005_Special_Report_on_Fukushima_Daiichi_MASTER_11_08_11_1.pdf
- 66 **None of this was reported to Yoshida:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 204.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 66 **pressures were rising fast:** INPO “Special Report on the Nuclear Accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station,” November, 2011, p. 30.
http://www.nei.org/corporatesite/media/filefolder/11_005_Special_Report_on_Fukushima_Daiichi_MASTER_11_08_11_1.pdf
- 67 **Another reactor now appeared to be doomed:** Tokyo Electric Power Company, Fukushima Nuclear Accident Analysis Report, June 20, 2012, p. 235
http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/betu12_e/images/120620e0104.pdf
- 67 **Three hours passed:** INPO “Special Report on the Nuclear Accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station,” November, 2011, p. 31.
http://www.nei.org/corporatesite/media/filefolder/11_005_Special_Report_on_Fukushima_Daiichi_MASTER_11_08_11_1.pdf
- 67 **exceeded our expectations:** Andrew Higgins, “Vanishing act by Japanese executive during nuclear crisis raises questions,” *Washington Post*, March 28, 2011.
http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/vanishing-act-by-japanese-executive-during-nuclear-crisis-raises-questions/2011/03/28/AFDnHNpB_story.html
- 67 **If anyone could lend us money:** “Inside Fukushima: How workers tried but failed to avert a nuclear disaster,” *Asahi Shimbun*, October 14, 2012.
<http://ajw.asahi.com/article/0311disaster/fukushima/AJ201210140034>
- 68 **I’m a bit too beat:** “Inside Fukushima: How workers tried but failed to avert a nuclear disaster,” *Asahi Shimbun*, October 14, 2012.
<http://ajw.asahi.com/article/0311disaster/fukushima/AJ201210140034>
- 68 **picked up some activity:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 13, 2011, p. 81.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A107.pdf>
- 68 **readings two and a half times normal:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 13, 2011, p. 85, 88.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A107.pdf>

Chapter 3. “What the hell is going on?”

Page

- 68 **Radiation was also detected:** Jon Rabiuff, “7th Fleet moves ships, aircraft away from stricken Fukushima plant,” *Stars and Stripes*, March 14, 2011.
<http://www.stripes.com/news/pacific/earthquake-disaster-in-japan/7th-fleet-moves-ships-aircraft-away-from-stricken-fukushima-plant-1.137657>
- 68 **Approximately 160,000 U.S. citizens:** Embassy of the United States, “Fact Sheet on the Current Situation,” March 13, 2011.
<http://japan.usembassy.gov/e/p/tp-20110313-72.html>
- 69 **specially equipped aircraft to identify and measure radiation in an emergency:** National Nuclear Security Administration “Aerial Measuring System.”
<http://nnsa.energy.gov/about/ourprograms/emergencyoperationscounterterrorism/respondingtoemergencies/consequencemanagem-0>
and
<http://www.flickr.com/photos/nnsanews/sets/72157626150030007/>
- 70 **relatively “hot” in terms of both temperature and radiation levels:** David Wright, “More on Spent fuel Pools at Fukushima,” Union of Concerned Scientists, March 21, 2011.
<http://allthingsnuclear.org/more-on-spent-fuel-pools-at-fukushima/>
- 72 **hours of painstaking work were undone:** INPO “Special Report on the Nuclear Accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station,” November, 2011, p. 25.
http://www.nei.org/corporatesite/media/filefolder/11_005_Special_Report_on_Fukushima_Daiichi_MASTER_11_08_11_1.pdf
- 74 **The water level continued to drop:** INPO “Special Report on the Nuclear Accident at the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station,” November, 2011, p. 26.
http://www.nei.org/corporatesite/media/filefolder/11_005_Special_Report_on_Fukushima_Daiichi_MASTER_11_08_11_1.pdf
- 76 **exceed the existing exposure limit:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 337-339.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 76 **an angry Kan summoned Shimizu to his office:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, July 23, 2012, p. 232
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/04IIIfinal.pdf>
- 77 **What the hell is going on?:** “Japan PM to nuclear power firm: ‘What the hell’s going on?’ – Kyodo,” Reuters, March 15, 2011.
<http://www.trust.org/item/?map=japan-pm-to-nuclear-power-firm-what-the-hells-going-on-kyodo>

Chapter 3. “What the hell is going on?”

Page

- 77 **he considered a worst-case scenario:** “Inside Japan’s Nuclear Meltdown,” interview with Naoto Kan, “Japan was invaded by an invisible enemy,” *Frontline*, February 28, 2012.
<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/health-science-technology/japans-nuclear-meltdown/naoto-kan-japan-was-invaded-by-an-invisible-enemy/>
- 77 **mass evacuations might have been necessary:** Yoichi Funabashi and Kay Kitazawa, “Fukushima in review: A complex disaster, a disastrous response,” *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, Vol. 68, Issue 2, March 1, 2012.
<http://www.thebulletin.org/2012/march/fukushima-review-complex-disaster-disastrous-response> (abstract)
- 77 **that changes the dynamic:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 14, 2011, p. 213.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A105.pdf>
- 78 **beginning to feel like an emergency drill:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 14, 2011, p. 242.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A105.pdf>
- 78 **breached the primary containment:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 14, 2011, p. 255.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A105.pdf>
- 78 **core went X-up:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 14, 2011, p. 276.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A105.pdf>
- 78 **we’re telling you the good news:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 14, 2011, p. 280.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A105.pdf>
- 78 **more bodies to have to get back out of here:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 14, 2011, p. 284.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A105.pdf>

Chapter 4. “It’s Going to Get Worse....”

Page

- 79 **we thought we had a big problem:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 15, 2011, p. 64.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A106.pdf>

Chapter 4. “It’s Going to Get Worse....”

Page

- 80 **U.S. citizens evacuate:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 15, 2011, p. 42.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A106.pdf>
- 81 **“keep an eye on” them:** ET Chronology Descending, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, p. 7.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1212/ML12122A950.pdf>
- 84 **It’s been extremely frustrating:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 15, 2011, p. 153.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A106.pdf>
- 84 **a huge benefit:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 15, 2011, p. 154.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A106.pdf>
- 85 **I don’t anticipate a need for that:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 15, 2011, p. 157.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A106.pdf>
- 85 **Unit 4 is in shambles:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 15, 2011, p. 178.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A106.pdf>
- 85 **We just had an earthquake here:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 15, 2011, p. 182.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A106.pdf>
- 85 **I really felt we might die:** Rick Wallace, “Fukushima boss Masao Yoshida breaks silence on disaster,” *Australian*, August 11, 2012.
<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/world/fukushima-boss-masao-yoshida-breaks-silence-on-disaster/story-fnb1brze-1226448211757#>
- 86 **it was clear from the beginning that we couldn’t run:** Rich Wallace, “Fukushima boss Masao Yoshida breaks silence on disaster,” *Australian*, August 11, 2012.
<http://www.theaustralian.com.au/news/world/fukushima-boss-masao-yoshida-breaks-silence-on-disaster/story-fnb1brze-1226448211757#>
- 86 **Tapes from the videoconference link:** NHK World, “TEPCO Video Released,” August 6, 2012. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WCA8MYRuXR0>
- 86 **My people have been working day and night:** Naoya Kon, “Fukushima plant chief defied TEPCO headquarters to protect workers,” *Asahi Shimbun*, December 1, 2012.
<http://ajw.asahi.com/article/0311disaster/fukushima/AJ201212010043>

Chapter 4. “It’s Going to Get Worse....”

Page

- 87 **the communications channels are very limited:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, pp. 22-24.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 87 **[T]he top priority:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 24.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 87 **It was like trying to investigate a homicide:** Author interview with Charles Casto, September 24, 2012.
- 88 **the worse one of all the containments we have:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 41.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 88 **leveled the walls, leveled the structure:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 62.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 88 **[I]f we end up losing one of these plants:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 115.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 88 **follow the instructions:** “A Message from Ambassador Roos to American Citizens in Japan, Embassy of the United States, Tokyo Japan, March 13, 2011.
<http://japan.usembassy.gov/e/p/tp-20110313-71.html>
- 89 **There was significant concern:** Author interview with Charles Casto, September 24, 2012.
- 89 **[D]o we think this is going to get better or get worse:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 132-133.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 89 **I agree with you:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 137.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 89 **we would go out to fifty miles:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 140.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 89 **I would still go with the fifty miles right now:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 157.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>

Chapter 4. “It’s Going to Get Worse....”

Page

- 90 **I just want to make sure:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 216.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 90 **I don’t know what they’re waiting for:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 224.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 90 **One thing, we went back and forth on:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 246.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 91 **So the potential is solely to refill the pool:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 255.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 92 **White House Press Secretary Jay Carney alerted reporters:** Press Briefing by Press Secretary Jay Carney, 3/16/2011.
<http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/03/16/press-briefing-press-secretary-jay-carney-3162011>
- 93 **[J]ust to repeat, we believe pool No. 4 is dry:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 316.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 93 **When Jaczko took his seat:** Gregory Jaczko at the House Energy and Commerce Subcommittee, March 16, 2011, as broadcast by C-Span.
<http://www.c-spanvideo.org/program/298523-5>
- 94 **The chairman was grilled:** Full Committee Briefing on Nuclear Plant Crisis in Japan and Implications for the United States, U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works, March 16, 2011.
http://www.epw.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Hearings.Hearing&Hearing_ID=bb6c78e6-802a-23ad-4c7b-9aa7a3bb0c31
- 94 **I think I understand your concern:** Full Committee Briefing on Nuclear Plant Crisis in Japan and Implications for the United States, U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works, March 16, 2011.
http://www.epw.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Hearings.Hearing&Hearing_ID=bb6c78e6-802a-23ad-4c7b-9aa7a3bb0c31
- 94 **We have plants that are just as old:** Full Committee Briefing on Nuclear Plant Crisis in Japan and Implications for the United States, U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works, March 16, 2011.
http://www.epw.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Hearings.Hearing&Hearing_ID=bb6c78e6-802a-23ad-4c7b-9aa7a3bb0c31

Chapter 4. “It’s Going to Get Worse...”

Page

- 95 **We think the reason:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 389.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 95 **You know, it’s not very clear:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 402.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 96 **So, at this point:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 411.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A108.pdf>
- 96 **The best science person:** Author interview with Charles Casto, September 24, 2012.
- 97 **The pumping strategy may not be useful:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 17, 2011, p. 83.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A109.pdf>
- 98 **[Y]ou know there are lethal dose rates:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 17, 2011, p. 51.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A109.pdf>
- 98 **[I]t’s hell over here for that government:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 17, 2011, p. 77.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A109.pdf>
- 98 **We are not getting any takers:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 17, 2011, p. 141.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A109.pdf>
- 99 **Events have moved both Unit 4 and Unit 2 down the list of concerns:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 17, 2011, p. 179. <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A109.pdf>
- 99 **We’re going to own this thing if we do:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 17, 2011, p. 438.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A109.pdf>
- 99 **The DOE was also running simulations to estimate possible doses to the public:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 17, 2011, p. 248. <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A109.pdf>
- 100 **a pumping system the United States had proposed:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, pp. 189 ff.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>

Chapter 4. “It’s Going to Get Worse....”

Page

- 101 **We’re in such never-never land:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 252.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 101 **average Americans had no shortage of ideas:** Email correspondence from the public and among NRC staff has been collected here:
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1122/ML11229A100.pdf>
- 102 **That wasn’t Beck’s only prop:** <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ps4285oykWg>
- 102 **We’re going to start working through diplomatic channels:** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 319.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>

Chapter 5. Interlude – Searching for Answers: “People are reaching the limit of anxiety and anger”

Page

- 103 **marvels of nature:** Tokyo Electric Power Company, “Assessment of INES (International Nuclear and Radiological Event Scale) on the incident at Fukushima Daiichi and Fukushima Daini Nuclear Power Station,” March 18, 2011.
<http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/11031808-e.html>
- 104 **level 7 ranking:** International Atomic Energy Agency, Fukushima Nuclear Accident Update Log, Updates of 12 April 2011.
<http://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/2011/fukushima120411.html>
- 104 **no “immediate risk to health”:** Press Conference by the Chief Cabinet Secretary, March 19 at 04:00pm, 2011.
http://www.kantei.go.jp/foreign/incident/110319_1600.html
- 105 **“the limit of anxiety and anger”:** Yuka Hayashi, “Critics Focus on Accuracy of Nuclear-Plant Information, *Wall Street Journal*, March 17, 2011.
<http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001424052748704360404576206444054284946>
- 105 **“standing by Japan”:** International Atomic Energy Agency, “Japan Prime Minister Assures IAEA Chief of Increased Information Exchange, March 29, 2011.
<http://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/2011/japan-visit.html>
- 105 **“exemplary”:** IAEA International Fact Finding Expert Mission of the Nuclear Accident Following the Great East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami, 24 May-1 June 2011, Preliminary Summary, p. 2.
<http://www.iaea.org/newscenter/focus/fukushima/missionsummary010611.pdf>

Chapter 5. Interlude – Searching for Answers: “People are reaching the limit of anxiety and anger”

Page

- 106 **unavailable elsewhere:** Nicola Liscutin, “Indignez-Vous! `Fukushima,’ New Media and Anti-Nuclear Activism in Japan,” *The Asia-Pacific Journal: Japan Focus*, Vol. 9, Issue 46 No 1, November 21, 2011.
<http://www.japanfocus.org/-Nicola-Liscutin/3649>
- 106 **One of the most compelling accounts:** “SOS from Mayor of Minami Soma City,” March 26, 2011
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=70ZHQ--cK40>
- 107 **cornerstone of corporate Japan:** The Economist, “The Troubles of TEPCO,” June 30, 2011. <http://www.economist.com/node/18899008>
- 107 **building more reactors at home and overseas:** K. Steiner-Dicks, *Nuclear Energy Insider*, Weekly Intelligence Brief, 27 June-4 July 2012, July 4, 2012.
<http://analysis.nuclearenergyinsider.com/operations-maintenance/weekly-intelligence-brief-27-june-%E2%80%93-4-july-2012>
- and
Tokyo Electric Power Company, “TEPCO to Invest in South Texas Project expansion (STP 3&4) – First Japanese utility to invest in the overseas nuclear power project,” May 10, 2010.
<http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/10051001-e.html>
- 107 **causing inconvenience:** David Pilling, “Public patience wears thin at TEPCO’s bungling” by David Pilling, *Financial Times*, March 15, 2011.
<http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/7d73a59a-4f2a-11e0-9038-00144feab49a.html#axzz2kSiRaRhS>
- 107 **a sophisticated and expensive public relations program:** Norimitsu Onishi, “‘Safety Myth’ Left Japan Ripe for Nuclear Crisis,” *New York Times*, June 24, 2011.
http://www.nytimes.com/2011/06/25/world/asia/25myth.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0
- 107 **TEPCO ranked alongside Japan’s internationally known corporations:** Nikkei Advertising Research Institute, Advertising Expenditure of Leading Corporations (FY 2010).
<http://www.nikkei-koken.com/surveys/survey14.html>
- 108 **“unnecessary fear among the nation”:** Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of Tokyo Electric Power Company, Executive Summary, p. 33.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/finalgaiyou.pdf>
- 109 **officials publicly acknowledged meltdowns:** Norimitsu Onishi and Martin Fackler, “Japan Held Nuclear Data, Leaving Evacuees in Peril,” *New York Times*, August 8, 2011.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/08/09/world/asia/09japan.html?pagewanted=all>

Chapter 5. Interlude – Searching for Answers: “People are reaching the limit of anxiety and anger”

Page

- 110 **a system of press clubs:** Martin Fackler, “New Leaders in Japan Seek to End Cozy Ties to Press Clubs,” *New York Times*, November 20, 2009.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2009/11/21/world/asia/21japan.html>
- and
Yoshio Sugimoto, “An Introduction of Japanese Society,” Third Edition, Cambridge University Press, New York (2010), pp. 245-246
- 110 **“effective at keeping nuclear news out of mainstream media”:** Gar Smith, “Nuclear Roulette, The Case Against a `Nuclear Renaissance,”” publication No. 5 in the International Forum on Globalization series focused on False Solutions to the Global Climate Crisis, June 2011, p. x
http://ifg.org/pdf/Nuclear_Roulette_book.pdf
- 111 **“There is a sense of betrayal”:** Author interview with Dr. Evelyn Bromet, July 11, 2012.
- 111 **“[T]he government chose to release information purely from a subjective perspective”:** The National Diet of Japan Fukushima Nuclear Accident Independent Investigation Commission, Executive Summary, July 5, 2012, p. 36.
http://warp.da.ndl.go.jp/info:ndljp/pid/3856371/naaic.go.jp/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/NAIIC_report_lo_res10.pdf
- 111 **Construction had resumed:** World Nuclear Association, “Nuclear Power in the USA,” (updated November 2013)
<http://www.world-nuclear.org/info/inf41.html>
- 111 **NRC was well on its way:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Issued Design Certification – Advanced Passive 1000 (AP 1000)” (updated April 18, 2013).
<http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/design-cert/ap1000.html>
- 112 **“Always interesting to wake up”:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, internal emails and correspondence. <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A073.pdf>, p. 34
- 112 **Thirty-one aging carbon copies:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, U.S. boiling-water reactors with “Mark 1” and Mark 2” containments.
<http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/operating/ops-experience/japan/us-boiling-water-reactors.html>
- 112 **first set of talking points:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, internal emails and correspondence, p. 30.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A073.pdf>
- 112 **A list of likely questions and their answers:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, internal emails and correspondence, pp. 69, 75-76, 361.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A073.pdf>,

Chapter 5. Interlude – Searching for Answers: “People are reaching the limit of anxiety and anger”

Page

- 113 **“Talk from but do not distribute”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, internal emails and correspondence, pp. 63, 193.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A073.pdf>
- 113 **“additional, technical non-public information”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, internal emails and correspondence, pp. 359-361.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A073.pdf>
- 114 **a nearly verbatim reassurance on risks**: Nuclear Regulatory Commission, The Fiscal Year 2012 Department of Energy and Nuclear Regulatory Commission Budget, testimony of Gregory B. Jaczko, chairman of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 17, 2011 (appearance before the House Energy and Commerce Committee), p. 2.
<http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/organization/commission/comm-gregory-jaczko/0317nrc-transcript-jaczko.pdf>
- 114 **“This is a marathon, not a 50-yard dash”** and **“we’re sticking to this story for now”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, internal emails and correspondence, pp. 51, 46.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A073.pdf>
- 114 **“could reduce available safety margins”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Resolution of Generic Safety Issues: Issue 199: Implications of Updated Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Estimates in Central and Eastern U.S. for Existing Plants (NUREG-0933, Main Report with Supplements 1-34) (updated March 29, 2012)
<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr0933/sec3/199.html>
- 115 **“Frankly, it is not a good story for us”**: Email from Annie Kammerer to Joseph Glitter and Roger Rihm, March 15, 2011, p. 236.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A073.pdf>
- 115 **Appendix D of the report**: Appendix D Seismic Core-Damage Frequencies
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1002/ML100270756.pdf>
- 115 **Hearing nothing back from his initial e-mail inquiry**: Correspondence and author interview with Bill Dedman, November 16, 2012.
- 115 **“What are the odds?”**: Bill Dedman, “What are the odds? US Nuke plants ranked by quake risk,” NBC News.com, March 17, 2011.
<http://www.nbcnews.com/id/42103936/>
- 116 **The NRC’s immediate reaction was to discredit Dedman’s story**: Email from Scott Burnell to Annie Kammerer, Jon Ake and Kamal Manoly, March 16, 2011, p. 32.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A071.pdf>

Chapter 5. Interlude – Searching for Answers: “People are reaching the limit of anxiety and anger”

Page

- 116 **“jaw-flapping”**: Email from Scott Burnell to Diane Screnci, Neil Sheehan, Roger Hannah, Joey Ledford, Prema Chandrathil, Viktoria Mitlyng, Lara Uselding, Victor Dricks, Holly Harrington, David McIntyre, Ivonne Couret, Mindy Landau, Eliot Brenner, March 16, 2011, p. 453.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A073.pdf>
- 116 **“We need a better response ASAP!”**: Email from Scott Burnell to Kamal Manoly, Patrick Hiland, David Skeen, Martin Stutzke, Benjamin Beasley, March 16, 2011, p. 447.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A073.pdf>
- 116 **a safety review of the Indian Point plant**: Bill Dedman, “Gov. Cuomo orders review of N.Y. reactor after report on quake data,” NBC News, March 17, 2011.
<http://openchannel.nbcnews.com/news/2011/03/17/6285997-gov-cuomo-orders-review-of-ny-reactor-after-report-on-quake-data>
- 116 **“I have received no concerns or corrections”**: Email from Benjamin Beasley to Scott Burnell, Kamal Manoly, Patrick Hiland, David Skeen, Martin Stutzke, March 26, 2011, p. 446
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1226/ML12268A073.pdf>
- 116 **“That’s our job”**: Email from Bill Dedman to Eliot Brenner, March 22, 2011.
(Correspondence from Bill Dedman).
- 117 **“they lost credibility for their organization”**: Author interview with Bill Dedman, March 16, 2012.
- 117 **crowded into makeshift quarters**: Martin Fackler, “In Japan’s Danger zone, the Stranded Await the Merciful,” *New York Times*, March 18, 2011.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/03/19/world/asia/19stranded.html?pagewanted=all>
- 118 **preparations got under way to move the two hundred and nine ambulatory patients and staff out of Futaba Hospital**: Noriyoshi Otsuki, “Government Probe: Many Fukushima patients died during botched rescue operation,” *Asahi Shimbun*, July 24, 2012.
http://ajw.asahi.com/article/0311disaster/life_and_death/AJ201207240092
- 118 **those left to fend for themselves**: Hiroko Tabuchi, “Inquiry Sees Chaos in Evacuations After Japan Tsunami,” *New York Times*, July 23, 2012.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/07/24/world/asia/inquiry-sees-chaos-in-evacuations-after-japan-tsunami.html>
- 118 **dismissed the data as unreliable**: Yuka Hayashi, “How Japan Stumbled in Forecasting Fallout in One Town,” *Wall Street Journal*, August 16, 2011.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304567604576453342206030686.html>

Chapter 5. Interlude – Searching for Answers: “People are reaching the limit of anxiety and anger”

Page

- 119 **“We have been sacrificed”**: Martin Fackler, “Crisis Saddles Village With Unwanted Notoriety,” *New York Times*, April 5, 2011.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/04/06/world/asia/06village.html?pagewanted=all>
- 120 **“Anyone who thinks about the future”** Koji Fujita, “Nuclear Refugees, the people of Iitate Village, one year later,” June 23, 2012.
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YXocjiKU-Vk>
- 120 **Iitate’s farmers saw no choice**: Shingo Ito, “Nothing Stirs in Japan’s nuclear ghost town,” Agence France Press, March 10, 2012.
<http://www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALeqM5iJF2jk7wuv9BuuFXnao3MeOqj5oQ?docId=CNG.021643a1997cfcf12caab6cd2135bf41.31>

Chapter 6. “Give me the worst case”

Page

- 121 **convinced that the pool...was dry**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Transition report for March 19, 2011 7 a.m., p. 47.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1212/ML12128A334.pdf>
- 122 **ten rem of radiation in just two minutes**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 240.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 124 **trucks from China, Germany, and the United States**: “Concrete pumps to Fukushima,” *World Nuclear News*, April 1, 2011.
http://www.world-nuclear-news.org/RS_Concrete_pumps_to_Fukushima_0104111.html
- 124 **“We don’t see where the power thing is any solution at all”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 8.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 124 **“Right now he’s basically a 24/7 individual”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 100.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 124 **Casto was being pressured**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, pp. 75-80.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 125 **“one of those pretty-face things again”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, pp. 9-10.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>

Chapter 6. “Give me the worst case”

Page

- 125 **“[T]hey said they didn’t need any help”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 159.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 125 **“They have one priority: Unit 3”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 158.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 128 **“We do not expect harmful levels of radiation”**: The White House, Office of the Press Secretary, Remarks by the President on the Situation in Japan, March 17, 2011.
<http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2011/03/17/remarks-president-situation-japan>
- 128 **experts were still debating the numbers**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, pp. 119ff.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 128 **“the minimal detectable activity level”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 266.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 129 **NRC was confident it had made the right call**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 266.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 129 **the Nuclear Energy Institute contacted the NRC to complain**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ET Chronology Descending, p. 24.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1212/ML12122A950.pdf>
- 129 **“So we eliminated that dose”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 220.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 130 **“plan around the worst case possibilities,”**: Email from Admiral Michael G. Mullen to John P. Holdren, director, Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP), April 1, 2011, 18:33 EDT.
- 130 **The White House wanted the NRC to provide**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 223.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 130 **“certain things just cannot happen”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, pp. 223-226.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 131 **“We have a tremendous opportunity here now”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 162.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>

Chapter 6. “Give me the worst case”

Page

- 131 **“It was a cordial meeting”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 280.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 131 **“I think this is a major change in the mission”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 285.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 132 **“It’s not a good situation”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 320.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 132 **“I’m just trying to figure out who the power player is over here”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 19-20, 2011, p. 3, p. 7.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A110.pdf>
- 132 **he felt “blindsided”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 19-20, 2011, p. 9.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A110.pdf>
- 133 **“we’re trying to do a worst-case that really makes sense”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 19-20, 2011, pp. 15-16.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A110.pdf>
- 133 **“even if the extreme happens – it’s safe to be in Tokyo”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 19-20, 2011, p. 14,
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A110.pdf>
- 133 **“there’s about five worst cases”** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 14.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 134 **“A post-accident evaluation.”** Terry Kraus and Brian Hunt, Sandia National Laboratories, “Overview of the Department of Energy’s Radiological Dose Assessment of the Fukushima Dai-ichi Nuclear Power Station Releases,” SAND Report 2012-1226C (Powerpoint presentation)
https://astarmjss.nmcourts.gov/speakernotes/Japan_CM_Overview_Brief.pdf (accessed December 9, 2013.)
- 134 **“Here’s today’s crisis”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 19-20, 2011, pp. 241-242.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A110.pdf>

Chapter 6. “Give me the worst case”

Page

- 134 **“[W]e’re not working the logistics stuff”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 19-20, 2011, p. 251.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A110.pdf>
- 135 **“The bottom line is get water”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 19-20, 2011, p. 258.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A110.pdf>
- 135 **“let me make it clear”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 19-20, 2011, p. 274.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A110.pdf>
- 135 **“100 hours of sleep”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 18, 2011, p. 198.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A114.pdf>
- 135 **same design and vintage as those at Fukushima Daiichi**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 12, 2011, pp. 147, 198-199.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A100.pdf>
- 135 **this information did not prove very useful**: Untitled document, “What do we know as of 10 am 3/12/2011,” p. 36.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1209/ML12096A068.pdf>
- 136 **access to valuable information the NRC didn’t have**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, pp. 123-124.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 136 **“It’s amazing how people know this stuff and we can’t seem to get it”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 188.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 136 **The status of the Unit 4 spent fuel pool**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 71.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 136 **“it isn’t really that effective”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 82.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 136 **“We’ve got to be very careful with that”** Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 114.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>

Chapter 6. “Give me the worst case”

Page

- 136 **A computer simulation the next day**: Email from Jennifer Uhle to Gregory Jaczko, March 21, 2011, pp. 221-222.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1123/ML11235A560.pdf>
- 136 **“This place was massive”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 92.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 136 **“There’s huge [numbers of] protesters”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 101.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 137 **“One of the concerns is they turn the site into a swamp”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 113.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 138 **“nose to nose”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 148.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 138 **“bang their heads”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 151.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 138 **“probably what you’re going to find”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 162.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 138 **“DOD wants to know where to move their ships”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 20, 2011, p. 150.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12052A111.pdf>
- 139 **“Do we have any idea how we got it in there?”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 21, 2011, p. 92.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1300/ML13008A108.pdf>
- 139 **“It took two days to negotiate this source term”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 23, 2011, p. 145.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1300/ML13008A112.pdf>
- 139 **one disturbing finding**: E-mail message from Steve Fetter to John Holdren, March 31, 2011. Subject: West Coast Summary. OSTP FOIA 13-03, Union of Concerned Scientists’ Freedom of Information Act request, October 23, 2012.

Chapter 6. “Give me the worst case”

Page

- 139 **“You’ve got to evacuate [Tokyo] and everything else”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 26, 2011, p. 121.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1300/ML13008A115.pdf>
- 139 **“[W]e ought to just have realistic models”**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 26, 2011, p. 122.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1300/ML13008A115.pdf>
- 140 **Its “plausible” source term was too low, even for the “realistic” case**: Japan’s Fukushima Daiichi ET Audio File, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 27, 2011, p. 8.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1300/ML13008A116.pdf>

Chapter 7. “Another March, Another Nation, Another Meltdown”

Page

- 141 **a series of regulatory reforms, with varying degrees of effectiveness**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Backgrounder on the Three Mile Island Accident,” (updated February 11, 2013)
<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/fact-sheets/3mile-isle.html>
- 142 **just thirty-six minutes shy of its first birthday**: Report prepared by the Subcommittee on Nuclear Regulation for the Committee on Environment and Public Works, U.S. Senate, “Nuclear Accident and Recovery at Three Mile Island, A Special Investigation,” June, 1980, pp. 63ff
http://archive.org/stream/nuclearaccidentr00unitrich/nuclearaccidentr00unitrich_djvu.txt
and
Union of Concerned Scientists, “Cleanup Lessons from TMI for Fukushima?” by David Lochbaum, April 15, 2011. <http://allthingsnuclear.org/cleanup-lessons-from-tmi-for-fukushima/>
- 143 **This was not a scenario without precedent**: Report of the President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: The Need for Change: The Legacy of TMI, 1979, p. 29.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//188.pdf>
- 144 **Design weaknesses further impaired the operators’ response to the unfolding calamity**: Report of the President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: The Need for Change: The Legacy of TMI, 1979, p. 30.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//188.pdf>
- 144 **one hundred alarms were sounding in the control room**: Report of the President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: The Need for Change: The Legacy of TMI, 1979, pp. 91-93.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//188.pdf>

Chapter 7. “Another March, Another Nation, Another Meltdown”

Page

- 145 **“like a couple of blind men staggering around making decisions”**: The President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: Report of the Office of Chief Counsel on Emergency Preparedness, Emergency Response, 1979, p. 117.
http://books.google.com/books/about/Reports_of_the_Office_of_Chief_Counsel_o.html?id=ccFYf4tNdnIC
- 145 **They were too late to prevent about half the core from melting**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Backgrounder on the Three Mile Island Accident,” updated February 11, 2013.
<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/fact-sheets/3mile-isle.html>
- 147 **“I can’t talk now, we’ve got a problem”**: Report of the President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: The Need for Change: The Legacy of TMI, 1979, p. 103.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//188.pdf>
- 147 **a “red tape” type of thing**: Report of the President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: The Need for Change: The Legacy of TMI, 1979, p. 103.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//188.pdf>
- 147 **Met Ed issued a brief press release**: Kemeny Commission Report of Public’s right to Information, p. 90.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//192.pdf>
- 147 **“What emergency?”**: Report of the President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: The Need for Change: The Legacy of TMI, 1979, p. 104.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//188.pdf>
- 147 **“The response to the emergency was dominated by an atmosphere of almost total confusion”**: Report of the President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: The Need for Change: The Legacy of TMI, 1979, p. 17.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//188.pdf>
- 148 **“The situation is more complex than the company first led us to believe”**: Report of the President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: The Need for Change: The Legacy of TMI, 1979, p. 109.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//188.pdf>
- 149 **eager to put distance between themselves and the troubled reactor**: The American Experience, “Meltdown at Three Mile Island,” 1999.
<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/amex/three/peopleevents/pandeAMEX97.html>
- 150 **“[T]he fundamental problems are people-related problems”**: Report of the President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: The Need for Change: The Legacy of TMI, 1979, p. 8.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//188.pdf>

Chapter 7. “Another March, Another Nation, Another Meltdown”

Page

- 150 **“an accident like Three Mile Island was eventually inevitable”**: Report of the President’s Commission on the Accident at Three Mile Island: The Need for Change: The Legacy of TMI, 1979, p. 11.
<http://www.threemileisland.org/downloads//188.pdf>
- 151 **U.S. nuclear plants operate on average at about a 90 percent capacity factor**: Nuclear Energy Institute: US Nuclear Capacity Factors, 2012.
<http://www.nei.org/Knowledge-Center/Nuclear-Statistics/US-Nuclear-Power-Plants/US-Nuclear-Capacity-Factors>
- 152 **confidential INPO safety reports for all U.S. nuclear plants**: H. Josef Hebert, “Government nuclear plant reports too rosy, group charges,” Associated Press, December 15, 1993.
<http://www.apnewsarchive.com/1993/Government-Nuclear-Plant-Reports-Too-Rosy-Group-Charges/id-9ccd61cc6b3c2c32516fa31c277c9fd6>
- 153 **if Class 9 accidents are considered `credible,’ this may preclude the construction of reactors in the Northeast United States**: David Okrent, “On the History of the Evolution of Light Water Reactor Safety in the United States,” 1975, p 2-464 to 2-466.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML0906/ML090630275.pdf>
- 153 **“The lessons learned from the TMI accident should be viewed in a broader perspective”**: Hossein P. Nourbakhsh, “Insights and Perspectives on Severe Accident Regulatory Decisions,” American Nuclear Society International Meeting on Severe Accident Assessment and Management, Lessons Learned from Fukushima Daiichi,” San Diego, CA, November 11-15, 2012, p.3.

Chapter 8. “The Safety Measures...Are Inadequate”

Page

- 155 **“You need that middle layer of people”**: Author interview with Charles Casto, September 24, 2012.
- 155 **a troubling sign that the plant had sprung a new leak**: Tokyo Electric Power Company, “Status of TEPCO’s facilities and its services after Tohoku-Taiheiyo-Oki Earthquake (as of 0:00 PM),” March 22, 2011.
<http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/11032204-e.html>
- 157 **Fears that the nation’s food supply and its agricultural regions might be threatened**: Ken Belson and Hiroko Tabuchi, “Japan Finds Tainted Food Up to 90 Miles from Nuclear Sites,” *New York Times*, March 19, 2011.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/03/20/world/asia/20japan.html?pagewanted=all>

Chapter 8. “The Safety Measures...Are Inadequate”

Page

- 157 **“The levels are not high enough to have an effect on humans”**: “Radiation checks stepped up on Japanese food imports,” *Asahi Shimbun*, March 17, 2011.
<http://ajw.asahi.com/article/0311disaster/fukushima/AJ201103173026>
- 158 **-159 Contaminated water was also found in trenches outside both Units 1 and 3**: International Atomic Energy Agency, Fukushima Nuclear Accident Update Log, updates of 29 March 2011.
<http://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/2011/fukushima290311.html>
- 160 **“an adequate explanation to convince the general public”**: Investigation Committee on the Accident at the Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations of the Tokyo Electric Power Company (Interim Report, December 26, 2011), p. 392-395.
<http://www.cas.go.jp/jp/seisaku/icanps/eng/interim-report.html>
- 162 **“I cannot possibly accept such a [dose] level to be applied to babies, infants and primary school students”**: “20 Millisieverts for Children and Kosako Toshiso’s Resignation,” *The Asia-Pacific Journal: Japan Focus*, May 1, 2011.
<http://www.japanfocus.org/events/view/83>
- 163 **TEPCO announced a recovery plan**: Tokyo Electric Power Company, “Roadmap towards Restoration from the Accident at Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station,” April 17, 2011.
<http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/11041707-e.html>
- 163 **“It served so many purposes to get that roadmap”**: Author interview with Charles Casto, September 24, 2012.
- 164 **The cleanup at Three Mile Island Unit 2 took fourteen years**: “14-year Cleanup at Three Mile Island Concludes,” *New York Times*, August 15, 1993.
<http://www.nytimes.com/1993/08/15/us/14-year-cleanup-at-three-mile-island-concludes.html>
- 165 **“exclusion zone”**: International Atomic Energy Agency, “In Focus Chernobyl” (undated)
<http://www.iaea.org/newscenter/focus/chernobyl/>
see: Feature Stories: Frequently Asked Chernobyl Questions”
<http://www.iaea.org/newscenter/features/chernobyl-15/chno-faq.shtml>
- 165 **TEPCO announced it would pay “temporary compensation”**: Tokyo Electric Power Company, “Payment of Temporary Compensation for damages caused by evacuation,” April 15, 2011.
<http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/11041501-e.html>
- 165 **“This is just the start”**: Hiroko Tabuchi, “Head of Japanese Utility Steps down After Nuclear Crisis,” *New York Times*, May 20, 2011.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/05/21/business/global/21iht-tepco21.html?pagewanted=all>

Chapter 8. “The Safety Measures...Are Inadequate”

Page

- 166 **“jump into a nuclear reactor and die”**: Hiroko Tabuchi, “Tepco Quells Push by Shareholders to End Nuclear Program,” *New York Times*, June 28, 2011.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/06/29/business/global/29tepc.html?pagewanted=all>
- 168 **“Is it really only four hours that nuclear power plants have to cope?”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Briefing on the Status of NRC Response to Events in Japan and Briefing on Station Blackout,” transcript, April 28, 2011, p. 36.
<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/tr/2011/20110428a.pdf>
- 168 **“[H]ow I’ve answered is that we’ve only had one station blackout in the United States”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Briefing on the Status of NRC Response to Events in Japan and Briefing on Station Blackout,” transcript, April 28, 2011, p. 37.
<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/tr/2011/20110428a.pdf>
- 168 **the NTTf turned over its first findings**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century, The Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident,” July 12, 2011
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1118/ML111861807.pdf>
- 168 **the commission gave its task force a very specific scope of inquiry**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century, The Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident,” July 12, 2011, p. 1
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1118/ML111861807.pdf>
- 170 **“patchwork of regulatory requirements”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “NRC’s Japan Task Force Recommends Changes to Defense in Depth Measures at Nuclear Plants; Cites Station Blackout, Seismic, Flooding and Spent Fuel Pools as Areas for Improvement,” July 13, 2011.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1119/ML11194A079.pdf>
- 170 **“enhanced regulatory framework intended to establish a coherent and transparent basis for treatment of Fukushima insights”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century, The Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident,” July 12, 2011, p. viii.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1118/ML111861807.pdf>
- 170 **“continued operation and continued licensing activities [for new reactors] do not pose an imminent risk to public health and safety”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century, The Near-Term Task Force Review of Insights from the Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident,” July 12, 2011, p. vii.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1118/ML111861807.pdf>
- 170 **the most dangerous plant site in Japan**: Daniel Cressey, “Japan to shut down `dangerous’ Hamaoka nuclear reactors,” *Nature.com Newsblog*, May 9, 2011.
http://blogs.nature.com/news/2011/05/japan_to_shut_down_dangerous_h_1.html

Chapter 8. “The Safety Measures...Are Inadequate”

Page

- 171 **“Japan should aim for a society that does not depend on nuclear energy,”**: Hiroko Tabuchi, “Japan Premier Wants Shift Away from Nuclear Power,” *New York Times*, July 13, 2011.
http://www.nytimes.com/2011/07/14/world/asia/14japan.html?_r=0
- 171 **nuclear power “worked for a while, until, of course, it no longer worked”**: “A less nuclear future,” *Japan Times*, May 22, 2011.
<http://www.japantimes.co.jp/opinion/2011/05/22/editorials/a-less-nuclear-future/#.Uo5vamRUMrg>
- 172 **“It’s still too early to say if we can get to that stage”**: Yuka Hayashi, George Nishiyama and Toko Sekiguchi, “Noda Pushes for Nuclear-Plant Restarts,” *Wall Street Journal*, 9/21/11.
- 172 **“Well, we’ll see”**: Gregory Jaczko, speech to the National Press Club, Washington, D.C., July 18, 2011.
<http://www.c-spanvideo.org/program/300563-1>
- 173 **“repudiation” of the NRC’s increasing reliance on “risk-informed regulation”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Briefing on the Task Force Review of NRC Processes and Regulations Following the Events in Japan,” July 19, 2011, p. 42.
<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/tr/2011/20110719.pdf>
- 173 **“I personally do not believe that our exiting regulatory framework is broken”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Briefing on the Task Force Review of NRC Processes and Regulations Following the Events in Japan,” July 19, 2011, p. 8.
<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/tr/2011/20110719.pdf>
- 173 **The industry’s answer to Fukushima was a plan it called FLEX**: NEI Nuclear Notes, “Industry Presents New Strategy to Increase Safety, Address NRC’s Post-Fukushima Recommendations,” January 13, 2013.
<http://neinuclearnotes.blogspot.com/2012/01/industry-presents-new-strategy-to.html>
- 173 **“B.5.b on steroids”**: David Lochbaum and Edwin Lyman, “U.S. Nuclear Power Safety One Year After Fukushima,” Union of Concerned Scientists, March 2012, p. 15.
http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/nuclear_power/fukushima-anniversary-report-3-5-12.pdf
- 174 **“it’s going to cheaper to buy three [pumps] than one and a heckuva big building [to put it in]”**: David Lochbaum and Edwin Lyman, “U.S. Nuclear Power Safety One Year After Fukushima,” Union of Concerned Scientists, March 2012, p. 16.
http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/nuclear_power/fukushima-anniversary-report-3-5-12.pdf

Chapter 8. “The Safety Measures...Are Inadequate”

Page

- 175 **The Fort Calhoun Nuclear Generating Station:** David Lochbaum, “The NRC and Nuclear Power Plant Safety in 2011: Living on Borrowed Time,” Union of Concerned Scientists, March 2012, p. 36.
http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/nuclear_power/nrc-nuclear-safety-2011-full-report.pdf
- 175 **The North Anna Power Station:** David Lochbaum, “The NRC and Nuclear Power Plant Safety in 2011: Living on Borrowed Time,” Union of Concerned Scientists, March 2012, p. 19.
http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/nuclear_power/nrc-nuclear-safety-2011-full-report.pdf
- 176 **“hazard limits just above recorded human experience is turning out to be really shortsighted”:** Steven Mufson, “Experts, activists debate nuclear power safety following earthquake,” *Washington Post*, August 24, 2011.
http://www.washingtonpost.com/business/economy/experts-activists-debate-nuclear-power-safety-following-earthquake/2011/08/24/gIQAUG7OcJ_story.html
- 177 **the infighting at the NRC became public:** Ed O’Keefe, “Hearing exposes conflict at Nuclear Regulatory Commission,” *Washington Post*, December 14, 2011.
http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/hearing-exposes-conflict-at-nuclear-regulatory-commission/2011/12/14/gIQAGBNquO_story.html
and
George Jornick, “Meltdown at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,” *The Nation*, December 12, 2011.
<http://www.thenation.com/blog/165109/meltdown-nuclear-regulatory-commission>
- 177 **“intimidated and bullied” staff:** Letter to William L. Daley, chief of staff, The White House, from Darrell Issa, chairman, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, U.S. House of Representatives, December 9, 2011.
http://www.eenews.net/assets/2011/12/12/document_daily_02.pdf
- 177 **“cooperative and collegial manner”:** Kasia Klimasinska, “New NRC Chief Tells Congress She’ll run Agency in Collegial Way, Bloomberg News, July 23, 2013.
<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-07-23/new-nrc-chief-tells-congress-she-ll-run-agency-in-collegial-way.html>
- 177 **“[W]ith enough government funding and effort, it can be done”:** Shinichi Saoshiro, “Japan aims to halve radiation in affected areas in 2 years,” Reuters, August 26, 2011.
<http://www.reuters.com/article/2011/08/26/us-japan-nuclear-idUSTRE77P1CD20110826>
- 178 **“Some places may have to be kept off-limits to residents for a long period of time”:** Mitsuru Obe, “Tokyo Says Evacuations Near Plant to Be Extended,” *Wall Street Journal*, August 23, 2011.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424053111903327904576524122257910948.html>

Chapter 8. “The Safety Measures...Are Inadequate”

Page

- 178 **\$6,500 bathtub:** Yoree Koh, “Radiation worries spur ‘quackery’ cures; contamination fears have led many Japanese to turn to questionable products for detection and treatment,” *Wall Street Journal*, April 15, 2012.
<http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001424052970204062704577222500350096534>
- 179 **“Only the government can save TEPCO from bankruptcy”:** “The troubles of TEPCO,” *The Economist*, June 30, 2011.
<http://www.economist.com/node/18899008>
- 179 **“belong to the landowners and not TEPCO”:** James Simms, “Tepco Swings and Misses,” *Wall Street Journal*, November 30, 2011.
<http://blogs.wsj.com/overheard/2011/11/30/tepcoswingsandmisses/>
- 179 **“We are flabbergasted at TEPCO’s argument”:** Asahi Shimbun, “Tepco: Radioactive substances belong to landowners, not us,” by Tomohiro Iwata, November 24, 2011.
http://ajw.asahi.com/article/behind_news/social_affairs/AJ201111240030
- 179 **joked to reporters that communities near Fukushima Daiichi were “dead towns”:** “Economy minister Hachiro resigns over joke about radiation,” *Asahi Shimbun*, September 10, 2011.
http://ajw.asahi.com/article/behind_news/politics/AJ201109109987
- 179 **Using computer simulations, TEPCO estimated:** Tokyo Electric Power Company, “The Evaluation Status of Reactor Core Damage at Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station Units 1 to 3,” November 30, 2011.
http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/nu/fukushima-np/images/handouts_111130_04-e.pdf
- 180 **the utility released its own assessment of its performance during the disaster:** The Tokyo Electric Power Company, Inc., “Fukushima Nuclear accident Analysis Report (Interim Report), December 2, 2011.
http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/betu11_e/images/111202e14.pdf
- 180 **the situation at Fukushima Daiichi was “under control”:** “Prime minister declares nuclear crisis under control,” *Asahi Shimbun*, December 16, 2011.
http://ajw.asahi.com/article/behind_news/politics/AJ201112160059
- 180 **“The plant is like a black box”:** Hiroko Tabuchi, “Japan’s Prime Minister Declares Fukushima Plant Stable,” *New York Times*, December 16, 2011.
http://www.nytimes.com/2011/12/17/world/asia/japans-prime-minister-declares-fukushima-plant-stable.html?_r=0

Chapter 9. Unreasonable Assurances

Page

- 182 **“Lessons from Fukushima: One Year Later:”** Committee on Environment and Public Works, U.S. Senate.
Full and Subcommittee on Clean Air and Nuclear Safety joint hearing entitled, “Lessons from Fukushima One Year Later: NRC’s Implementation of Recommendations for Enhancing Nuclear Reactor Safety in the 21st Century.” Thursday, March 15, 2012. Hearing transcript.
- 183 **It could happen here:** Richard H. Perkins et al., “Screening Analysis Report for the Proposed Generic Issue on Flooding of Nuclear Power Plant Sites Following Upstream Dam Failures,” U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, July 2011. <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1218/ML12188A239.pdf>
- 185 **Five days after the tsunami struck:** e-mail from J. Mitman to E. Riggs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, March 16, 2011, p. 258.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1121/ML11216A196.pdf>
- 186 **Back in 1989, the NRC staff:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Mark I Containment Performance Improvement Program,” SECY-89-017, January 1989.
- 187 **Chairman Joseph Hendrie said in a speech:** as quoted in U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Chairman Jaczko’s Comments on SECY-11-0032, ‘Consideration of the Cumulative Effects of Regulation in the Rulemaking Process,’” August 29, 2011.
- 187 **In a 2011 speech, Commissioner Ostendorff:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Commissioner William C. Ostendorff, “NRC Report Recommendations and the Path Forward for Nuclear Energy Regulatory Reform,” December 6, 2011.
- 187 **series of highly stylized events:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “A Proposed Risk Management Regulatory Framework,” NUREG-2150, April 2012, p. xvi.
- 188 **“not necessary for public safety”:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, “Severe Accident Design Criteria,” Federal Register, 45 FR 65474 (1980).
- 188 **“We have come far beyond the point”:** J. Samuel Walker, *Three Mile Island, A Nuclear Crisis in Historical Perspective*. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA (2004) 217-218.
- 188 **To set that process in motion:** U.S. NRC, “Severe Accident Design Criteria” (1980), op cit.
- 189 **installation of costly new systems:** C.L. Herzenberg, J.R. Ball and D. Ramaswami, “Source-Term Reevaluation for U.S. Commercial Nuclear Power Reactors: A Status Report,” Argonne National Laboratory, ANL/EES-TM-275, December 1984, p. 32.
- 189 **“would be acceptable to the nuclear industry”:** Herzenberg, Ball and Ramaswami, (1984) p. 32.

Chapter 9. Unreasonable Assurances

Page

- 189 **marched towards its foregone conclusion:** Margaret L. Ryan, “IDCOR Chooses ENERGEX Over TEC to Lead Fight to Lower Source Terms,” *Nucleonics Week*, January 31, 1985.
- 189 **would not be released to the environment:** Margaret L. Ryan, “New Project Manager Considered as IDCOR Stays in Business Another Year,” *Nucleonics Week*, December 20, 1984.
- 190 **“snake oil salesmen”:** Corie Brown, “Battle Grows Over Industry Clamor to Reduce Source Term,” *Inside N.R.C.*, September 5, 1983.
- 190 **no basis for the “sweeping generalization”:** R. Wilson et al., “Report to The American Physical Society of the Study Group on Radionuclide Release from Severe Accidents at Nuclear Power Plants,” *Reviews of Modern Physics*, Vol. 57, No. 3, Part II, July 1985.
- 190 **issuing a Severe Accident Policy Statement:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Policy Statement on Severe Reactor Accidents Regarding Future Designs and Existing Plants,” *Federal Register*, 50 FR 32138 (1985).
- 191 **When the smoke cleared:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Individual Plant Examination for Severe Accident Vulnerabilities,” *Generic Letter 88-20*. November 23, 1988.
- 191 **recently revised backfit rule:** A “backfit” is a modification of or addition to systems, structures, components, or the design of an existing nuclear plant resulting from changes in the NRC’s rules or re-interpretations of old ones. <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/part050/part050-0109.html>.
- 191 **a majority of commissioners:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Regulatory Analysis Guidelines of the U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission,” NUREG/BR-0058, Revision 4, September 2004, p.1.
- 191 **the resultant backfits were costly.** U.S. General Accounting Office, “Process for Backfitting Changes in Nuclear Plants Has Improved,” GAO/RCED-86-27, December 1985, p 16.
- 191 **“risks that are real and significant”:** Congressional Research Service, *Risk Analysis and Cost-Benefit Analysis of Environmental Regulations*, Report 94-961 ENR (1994).
- 192 **struck by a meteor:** Frank von Hippel, *Citizen Scientist*. Touchstone/Simon and Schuster, New York, 1991, p. 19-21.
- 192 **“so uncertain as to be virtually meaningless”.** Frank von Hippel (1991), op cit.
- 192 **an independent review panel:** Harold Lewis et al., “Risk Assessment Review Group Report to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission,” NUREG/CR-0400, September 1978, p. xi.

Chapter 9. Unreasonable Assurances

Page

- 193 **the monetary value of a human life:** E. Lyman, “The NRC and the Value of Life,” All Things Nuclear (blog), February 28, 2011. <http://allthingsnuclear.org/the-nrc-and-the-value-of-life/>
- 193 **the NRC revised its rules:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Revision of Backfitting Process for Power Reactors,” Final Rule, Federal Register, 50 FR 38097 (1985).
- 194 **wrote a withering dissent:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, op. cit, 50 FR 38106 (1985).
- 194 **threw out the backfit rule:** Union of Concerned Scientists vs. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Nos. 85-1757; 86-1219 (824 F.2d 108) (D.C. Cir. August 4, 1987).
- 196 **“the total risk from severe core melt accidents”:** “NUMARC Says Plant-Specific Studies Needed to Identify Potential Fixes,” *Inside N.R.C.*, February 29, 1988, p.13.
- 196 **“job security and research funding”:** Brian Jordan, “Stello, Senior Staff Retreat in Effort to End Mark I Impasse,” *Inside N.R.C.* February 15, 1988, p.1.
- 197 **blocked by the backfit rule:** Brian Jordan, “Backfit Rule Likely to Prevent Mark I Fixes, Internal Memo Says,” *Inside N.R.C.*, March 14, 1988, p 13.
- 198 **opposed the staff and supported the industry:** “NRC Staff, ACRS At Odds Over Mark I Venting Need,” *Nuclear News*, March 1989, p 31.
- 198 **put off for another five years:** Brian Jordan, “Industry One Vote Short of Delaying Mark I Fixes for Five Years,” *Inside NRC*, May 22, 1989, p.1.
- 199 **“do not identify the recommendations as commitments”:** U.S. NRC, “Independent Plant Examination Program: Perspectives on Reactor Safety and Plant Performance,” NUREG-1560, Draft Report, Volume 2, December 1996, p. 9-32.
- 200 **the future of the containment improvement program:** Kenneth D. Bergeron, *Tritium on Ice*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2002, p. 58. This book provides an excellent account of the history of the NRC’s severe accident research programs at that time.
- 200 **stubborn issues under the rug:** Kenneth Bergeron (2002) op cit., p 57.
- 202 **adoption in Japan’s own safety regulations:** Tokyo Electric Power Company (TEPCO), Fukushima Nuclear Accident Analysis Report, June 20, 2012, p. 48. http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/betu12_e/images/120620e0104.pdf
- 202 **loss of DC power:** TEPCO (2012), op cit., p. 48-50 http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/betu12_e/images/120620e0104.pdf

Chapter 10. “This is a closed meeting. Right?”

Page

- 204 **more than three thousand people:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission blog, February 16, 2011. <http://public-blog.nrc-gateway.gov/2011/02/16/nrc%E2%80%99s-upcoming-regulatory-conference-expected-to-draw-3000-attendees/>
- 205 **Interest remained high among many:** Dave Levinthal, Open Secrets (blog), March 14, 2011. <http://www.opensecrets.org/news/2011/03/nuclear-primed-for-fight.html>
- 205 **The goal was to supplant:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses (SOARCA).” <http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/regulatory/research/soar.html>
- 206 **for every nuclear power plant site:** Milton R. Benjamin, “Nuclear Study Raises Estimates of Accident Tolls,” *Washington Post*, November 1, 1982.
- 208 **“less than the possibility of a jumbo jet”:** Milton R. Benjamin, “NRC Issues Report, Withholds Worst-Case Estimates,” *Washington Post*, November 2, 1982.
- 208 **also had to refer to probabilities:** Edwin S. Lyman, Union of Concerned Scientists, “Chernobyl-on-the-Hudson?” report commissioned by Riverkeeper, Inc., September 2004, p. 15. http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/nuclear_power/indianpointhealthstudy.pdf
- 209 **no credible way to calculate the probability:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Protection Against Malevolent Use of Vehicles at Nuclear Power Plants,” final rule, Federal Register, 59 FR 38889 (1994).
- 209 **the NRC also had to concede:** Cat Lazaroff, “Nuclear Plants Called Vulnerable to Terrorist Attack,” Environment News Service, September 26, 2011.
- 211 **the respected journal Science and Global Security:** R. Alvarez et al., “Reducing the Hazards from Stored Spent Power-Reactor Fuel in the United States,” *Science and Global Security* **11** (2003) 1-51. One of us (Lyman), and current NRC Chairman Allison Macfarlane, were among the several co-authors of this study.
- 211 **inappropriate influence on the research staff:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of the Inspector General, “Improper Communications by NRC Commissioner to NRC Staff and Licensees,” Case No. 04-14I, April 15, 2004. Greenpeace FOIA/PA-2008-0312.
- 212 **presenting the “complex” results:** Brian Sheron, “State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses—Peach Bottom and Surry Results,” SECY-09-0045, March 25, 2009, p 2. <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1122/ML11228A233.pdf>
- 212 **performed by its contractor personnel:** Kenneth Bergeron, *Tritium on Ice*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2002.

Chapter 10. “This is a closed meeting. Right?”

Page

- 214 **staff had carried out such calculations:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “The Evolution of Mitigating Measures for Large Fires and Explosions,” p. 53.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1117/ML11179A120.pdf>
- 214 **damage estimates were unrealistically high:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission “Consideration of Economic Consequences Within the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s Regulatory Framework,” SECY-12-0110, August 14, 2012, p. 49.
<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/secys/2012/2012-0110scy.pdf>
- 215 **“changes in the scope of the calculation”:** William J. Shack, Chairman, NRC Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, letter to Luis A. Reyes, April 21, 2008.
- 215 **conclusions its bosses wanted:** Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, US. NRC, “State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequence Analyses,” Briefing for the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, November 16, 2007.
- 216 **“This is a closed meeting. Right?”** NRC Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Meeting of the Subcommittee on Regulatory Policies and Practices (closed meeting), November 16, 2007, p. 83.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1136/11363A102.pdf>
- 217 **“[I]f we miss this date”:** Brian Sheron, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, e-mail, Tuesday, January 25, 2011.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1131/ML11312A108>
- 217 **a rather prescient letter:** Letter from Shawn Burns, Sandia National Laboratories, to R. Prato, NRC Office of Research, November 6, 2007.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1212/ML12124A080.pdf>
- 218 **The committee asked whether the project staff:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, e-mail exchange, June 3-4, 2010. <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1203/ML12033A232.pdf>
- 218 **Internal dissent continued** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, e-mail exchange, K. Gibson and J. Uhle, June 30, 2010.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1131/ML11313A136>
- 219 **Within fifty miles of Peach Bottom:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, “State-of-the-Art Reactor Consequences Project Volume 1: Peach Bottom Integrated Analysis,” May 2013, Table 7-15, p. 7-25.
- 220 **Two years earlier:** <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/conference-symposia/ric/past/2009/slides/presentations/wed-400-530-state-of-art-reactor/handout-format/tinkler-joint-slides-handout.pdf>
- 220 **The statement had subtly changed:** <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/conference-symposia/ric/past/2011/docs/abstracts/santiagop-h.pdf>

Chapter 10. “This is a closed meeting. Right?”

Page

- 220 **“they said no release”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, e-mail exchange, J. Schaperow and P. Santiago, p. 1.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1121/ML11216A196.pdf>

Chapter 11. “The Government Owes the Public a Clear and Convincing Answer”

Page

- 222 **arrived to quell the scuffle**: “NISA approves results of stress tests for 2 Oi reactors,” *Asahi Shimbun*, January 19, 2012.
http://ajw.asahi.com/article/behind_news/social_affairs/AJ201201190035
- 222 **Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda had made his views clear**: Policy Speech by Prime Minister Yoshihiko Noda to the 178th Session of the Diet, September 13, 2011.
http://www.kantei.go.jp/foreign/noda/statement/201109/13syosin_e.html
- 223 **protestors took to the streets of Tokyo**: Justin McCurry, “Fukushima protesters urge Japan to abandon nuclear power,” *The Guardian*, September 19, 2011.
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/sep/19/fukushima-protesters-japan-nuclear-power>
- 224 **Noda and several of his cabinet members would have the final say**: Mitsuru Obe and Chester Dawson, “Nuclear-Restart Plans Divide Japan,” *Wall Street Journal*, June 18, 2012.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702303444204577460272545747302.html>
- 224 **the IAEA reported**: Hiroko Tabuchi, “Atomic Agency Backs Safety Tests for Japan’s Reactors,” *New York Times*, January 31, 2012.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/01/world/asia/united-nations-tentatively-backs-japans-nuclear-stress-tests.html>
- 225 **“nothing but an optimistic desk simulation”**: Yurly Humber, “Japan Post-Fukushima Reactor Checks ‘Insufficient,’ Advisers Say,” Bloomberg News, January 27, 2012.
<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-01-27/japan-post-fukushima-reactor-checks-insufficient-advisers-say.html>
- 225 **accused the IAEA of simply rubberstamping**: Sophie Knight, “Nuclear safety advisers slam stress tests,” *Asahi Shimbun*, February 1, 2012.
<http://ajw.asahi.com/article/0311disaster/fukushima/AJ201202010057>
- 225 **Madarame asserted forcefully**: Mari Yamaguchi, “Japan’s nuclear safety standards called flawed,” AP, February 15, 2012.
<http://news.yahoo.com/japans-nuclear-safety-standards-called-flawed-104604884.html>

Chapter 11. “The Government Owes the Public a Clear and Convincing Answer”

Page

- 225 **Japan had become overly confident:** Hiroko Tabuchi, “Japan Ignored Nuclear Risks, Official Says,” *New York Times*, February 16, 2012.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/16/world/asia/japanese-official-says-nations-atomic-rules-are-flawed.html>
- 225 **“I hope there is an evaluation of more realistic actual figures”:** “Noda to put full weight behind campaign for Oi nuclear restart,” *Asahi Shimbun*, March 24, 2012.
http://ajw.asahi.com/article/behind_news/social_affairs/AJ201203240040
- 226 **“willingness to acknowledge that accidents can happen”:** Mitsuru Obe, “Nuclear Experts Examine Japan’s Lax Safety Culture,” *Wall Street Journal*, February 25, 2012.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052970203918304577244810322327428.html>
- 226 **The plunge in the company’s stock price:** Tsuyoshi Inajima and Yuji Okada, “Tepco Goes to Government for \$12 Billion in Rescue Funds,” Bloomberg News, March 29, 2012.
<http://www.businessweek.com/news/2012-03-29/tepco-requests-12-billion-in-public-funds-to-avert-insolvency>
- 226 **almost certainly would collapse:** Tsuyoshi Inajima and Yuji Okada, “Tepco Plunges to Lowest Since 1974 as Edano Suggests Government Takeover,” Bloomberg News, December 28, 2011.
<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2011-12-28/tepco-shares-plunge-as-edano-suggests-japan-should-take-control-of-company.html>
and
Mitsuru Obe and Phred Dvorak, “Tepco Chairman’s Power Posts Test for Tokyo,” *Wall Street Journal*, March 21, 2012.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702303863404577283073123016712.html>
- 226 **rebuffed by Trade Minister Yukio Edano:** “Japan’s Yukio Edano rebuffs Tepco bailout claim,” BBC News, December 8, 2011.
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-16082349>
- 227 **corporate culture “hasn’t changed at all”:** Mitsuru Obe and Phred Dvorak, “Tepco Chairman’s Power Posts Test for Tokyo,” *Wall Street Journal*, March 21, 2012.
<http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702303863404577283073123016712.html>
- 227 **“Since the 1980s the utilities have looked”:** “State Power,” *The Economist*, May 11, 2012.
<http://www.economist.com/blogs/schumpeter/2012/05/tepco%E2%80%99s-nationalisation>
- 227 **An analysis by Bloomberg News:** Tsuyoshi Inajima and Yuji Okada, “Tepco Goes to Government for \$12 Billion in Rescue Funds,” Bloomberg News, March 29, 2012.
<http://www.businessweek.com/news/2012-03-29/tepco-requests-12-billion-in-public-funds-to-avert-insolvency>

Chapter 11. “The Government Owes the Public a Clear and Convincing Answer”

Page

- 227 **night baseball games were switched to daytime:** Ken Belson, “Delaying First Pitch Debated in Baseball-Loving Japan,” *New York Times*, March 20, 2011.
http://www.nytimes.com/2011/03/21/world/asia/21nippon.html?_r=0
- 227 **TEPCO requested \$12 billion:** Tsuyoshi Inajima and Yuji Okada, “Tepco Goes to Government for \$12 Billion in Rescue Funds,” Bloomberg News, March 29, 2012.
<http://www.businessweek.com/news/2012-03-29/tepcos-requests-12-billion-in-public-funds-to-avert-insolvency>
- 228 **“This is the last chance to restore TEPCO”:** Tsuyoshi Inajima, “Japan to Seize Tepco on Same Terms as 2003 Resona Rescue,” Bloomberg News, April 29, 2012.
<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-04-28/japan-to-model-trillion-yen-tepco-bailout-on-2003-bank-rescue.html>
- 228 **“pie-in-the-sky blueprint”:** “TEPCO faces major challenges in avoiding bankruptcy,” *Asahi Shimbun*, May 10, 2012.
http://ajw.asahi.com/article/behind_news/social_affairs/AJ201205100046
- 228 **“made to pay the price for their own mistakes”:** “TEPCO bailout plan riddled with problems,” *Asahi Shimbun*, May 10, 2012.
<http://ajw.asahi.com/article/views/editorial/AJ201205100061>
- 228 **International Energy Agency estimates:** Jacob Adelman, “Japan’s Use of Oil May Surge by 300,000 Barrels a Day,” Bloomberg News, April 12, 2012.
<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-04-12/japan-s-use-of-oil-may-surge-by-300-000-barrels-a-day-1-.html>
- 229 **“[I]s it really possible to ensure the safety of operating these reactors”:** “Government must answer nuclear risk questions,” *Asahi Shimbun*, July 23, 2012.
<http://ajw.asahi.com/article/views/editorial/AJ201207230070>
- 230 **catapulted him into the national spotlight:** Martin Fackler, “Japan’s Leaders, Pressed by Public, Fret as Nuclear Shutdown Nears,” *New York Times*, May 3, 2012.
http://www.nytimes.com/2012/05/05/world/asia/japans-leaders-fret-as-nuclear-shutdown-nears.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0
- 231 **“Japanese society cannot survive”:** Linda Sieg and Aaron Sheldrick, “Japan PM says two reactors must restart for “survival of society,” Reuters, June 8, 2012.
<http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/06/08/us-japan-nuclear-reactors-idUSBRE8570GO20120608>
- 231 **“We understand that we have not obtained all of the nation’s understanding”:** Linda Sieg and Kiyoshi Takenaka, “Japan approves two reactor restarts, more seen ahead,” Reuters, June 16, 2012.
<http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/06/16/us-japan-nuclear-idUSBRE85F02720120616>

Chapter 11. “The Government Owes the Public a Clear and Convincing Answer”

Page

- 231 **“They’re making lots of noise”**: Martin Fackler, “In Tokyo, thousands Protest the Restarting of a Nuclear Power Plant,” *New York Times*, June 29, 2012. http://www.nytimes.com/2012/06/30/world/asia/thousands-in-tokyo-protest-the-restarting-of-a-nuclear-plant.html?_r=1
- 231 **“It’s just a big step forward to start raising our voices”**: Martin Fackler, “In Tokyo, thousands Protest the Restarting of a Nuclear Power Plant,” *New York Times*, June 29, 2012. http://www.nytimes.com/2012/06/30/world/asia/thousands-in-tokyo-protest-the-restarting-of-a-nuclear-plant.html?_r=1
- 232 **“I used to say the one thing that kept me up at night”**: “Departing NRC chief fears safety focus could fade,” *Platts Inside Energy*, July 9, 2012.
- 232 **“the industry agrees with many of the issues”**: Letter from Marvin Fertel, Nuclear Energy Institute, to Gregory Jaczko, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, July 15, 2011. <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1120/ML11208C469.pdf>
- 234 **“should be started without unnecessary delay”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Prioritization of Recommended Actions to be Taken in Response to Fukushima Lessons Learned,” SECY-11-0037, October 3, 2011, p. 9 <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/secys/2011/2011-0137scy.pdf>
- 234 **“assuring or redefining the level of protection”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, op cit., p. 6 <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/secys/2011/2011-0137scy.pdf>
- 234 **“swimming in the waters of backfit”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Briefing on the Progress of the Task Force Review of NRC Processes and Regulations Following the Events in Japan,” June 15, 2011, p. 24. <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/tr/2011/20110615.pdf>
- 234 **“decisions on adequate protection”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Commission Voting Record, “Prioritization of Recommended Actions to be Taken In Response to Fukushima Lessons Learned,” December 15, 2011. <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/cvr/2011/2011-0137vtr.pdf>
- 235 **“performance-based”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Commission Voting Record, “Recommended Actions To Be Taken Without Delay from the Near-Term Task Force Report,” October 18, 2011. <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/cvr/2011/2011-0124vtr.pdf>
- 236 **shortcomings of the FLEX approach**: Exelon Generation, “Overall Integrated Plan in Response to March 12, 2012 Commission Order Modifying Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events,” February 28, 2013. <http://pbadpws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1305/ML13059A305.pdf>

Chapter 11. “The Government Owes the Public a Clear and Convincing Answer”

Page

- 239 **“Time is of the essence”**: “NEI: FLEX Fukushima Response Strategy Requires reactor Operators to buy Emergency Equipment,” *Power*, March 14, 2012.
<http://www.powermag.com/nei-flex-fukushima-response-strategy-requires-reactor-operators-to-buy-emergency-equipment/>
- 239 **NRC largely endorsed NEI’s approach**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Japan Lessons-Learned Project Directorate,” Interim Staff Guidance, August 29, 2012.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1222/ML12229A174.pdf>
- 239 **“We can say with certainty**: “Government acknowledges most Japanese favor nuclear-free society,” *Japan Times*, August 29, 2012.
http://www.japantimes.co.jp/news/2012/08/29/news/government-acknowledges-most-japanese-favor-nuclear-free-society/#.Uo_m1WRUMrg
- 240 **“a somewhat messy compromise that will delight nobody”**: “Noda’s nuclear phase-out is decisive – but not final,” *Financial Times*, September 17, 2012.
- 240 **“It is highly regrettable”**: “Japan’s no-nuke pledge is already fraying at the edges,” *Asahi Shimbun*, September 19, 2012.
http://ajw.asahi.com/article/behind_news/politics/AJ201209190076
- 240 **“No matter how difficult it is”**: Mari Yamaguchi, “Cabinet panel wants Japan to end nuclear power use,” AP, September 14, 2012.
<http://finance.yahoo.com/news/cabinet-panel-wants-japan-end-nuclear-power-123350767--finance.html>
- 240 **“take it into consideration”**: Hiroko Tabuchi, “Japan, Under Pressure, Backs Off Goal to Phase Out Nuclear Power by 2040,” *New York Times*, September 19, 2012.
<http://www.nytimes.com/2012/09/20/world/asia/japan-backs-off-of-goal-to-phase-out-nuclear-power-by-2040.html>
- 240 **“The government’s commitment to abandon nuclear power”**: “Japan’s no-nuke pledge is already fraying at the edges,” *Asahi Shimbun*, September 19, 2012.
http://ajw.asahi.com/article/behind_news/politics/AJ201209190076
- 243 **“We have been living there for 1,000 years”** Martin Fackler, “Hopes of Home Fade Among Japan’s Displaced,” *New York Times*, November 25, 2012.
http://www.nytimes.com/2012/11/26/world/asia/hopes-of-home-fade-among-japans-displaced.html?_r=0
- 243 **“Many [people] view us as the perpetrators”**: “Quiet heroes speak of Japan’s nuclear tragedy,” *The Economist* (reprinted in the *Chronicle Herald*, Halifax, Nova Scotia), October 28, 2012. <http://thechronicleherald.ca/business/156024-quiet-heroes-speak-of-japan-s-nuclear-tragedy>

Chapter 11. “The Government Owes the Public a Clear and Convincing Answer”

Page

- 243 **“I had no intention of dying”**: Reiji Yoshida, “‘Fukushima 50’ recount quiet heroism,” *Japan Times*, October 11, 2012.
<http://info.japantimes.co.jp/text/nn20121011f2.html>

Chapter 12. A Rapidly Closing Window of Opportunity

Page

- 244 **the utility also blamed Japanese government regulators**:
See, e.g.,
https://www.kiviniria.net/media/Techniecpromotie/Thema_sKIVINIRIA/Energie/kivi_rooi_jen_20121123.pdf, p. 20
- 244 **“an extremely massive earthquake”**: Government of Japan Nuclear Emergency Response Headquarters, “Report of Japanese Government to the IAEA Ministerial Conference on Nuclear Safety, The Accident at TEPCO’s Fukushima Nuclear Power Stations,” June 2011, p. XIII-1
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1117/ML11178A383.pdf>
- 244 **no nuclear plant design could have survived**: Matt Smith, “U.S. nuclear plants similar to Fukushima spark concerns,” CNN, February 17, 2012.
<http://www.cnn.com/2012/02/17/us/us-nuclear-reactor-concerns/>
- 244 **The Japanese Diet Independent Investigation Commission laid blame**: The National Diet of Japan Fukushima Nuclear Accident Independent Investigation Commission (executive summary), July 5, 2012.
<http://warp.da.ndl.go.jp/info:ndljp/pid/3856371/naic.go.jp/en/blog/reports/es-1/#toc-conclusions> (full report is available here:
<http://warp.da.ndl.go.jp/info:ndljp/pid/3856371/naic.go.jp/en/>)
- 245 **Soon after Fukushima, Aris Candris said**: ABC News, “Could New Nuclear Reactor Have Prevented Fukushima?”
<http://abcnews.go.com/blogs/headlines/2011/12/could-new-nuclear-reactor-have-prevented-fukushima/>
- 245 **NRC commissioners voted 4-1**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “NRC Concludes Hearing on Vogtle New Reactors, First-ever Combined Licenses to be Issued,” February 9, 2012.
<http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1204/ML120410133.pdf>
- 245 **“I cannot support issuing this license as if Fukushima had never happened”**: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, affirmation session, February 9, 2012.
<http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/tr/2012/20120209b.pdf>

Chapter 12. A Rapidly Closing Window of Opportunity

Page

- 245 **Advocates are also promoting development of small modular reactors:** Wendy Koch, “Nuclear industry looks toward smaller reactors,” *USA Today*, November 27, 2012. <http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation/2012/11/26/nuclear-small-modular-reactors/1727001/>
E. Lyman, “Small Isn’t Always Beautiful: Safety, Security and Cost Concerns About Small Modular Reactors,” Union of Concerned Scientists report, September 2013. http://www.ucsusa.org/assets/documents/nuclear_power/small-isnt-always-beautiful.pdf
- 246 **the vendors in 2003 successfully lobbied Congress:** United States Senate, Reauthorization of the Price-Anderson Act, December 9, 2003. <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CRPT-108srpt218/html/CRPT-108srpt218.htm>
and
http://www.opensecrets.org/lobby/clientissues_spec.php?id=D000000555&year=2001&spec=ENG
- 247 **Senator Al Franken joked:** Hearing of Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, Subject: “S. 512, the Nuclear Power 2021 Act,” June 7, 2011. <http://www.c-spanvideo.org/program/S51>
- 248 **The threat of fires remains a major contributor to the risk of core damage:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Briefing on Fire Protection Issues, July 17, 2008, pp. 58-59. <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/tr/2008/20080717.pdf>
- 249 **a severe tornado disconnected the Browns Ferry plant from the electrical grid:** Tennessee Valley Authority, Power Restoration Updates, April 27, 2011-May 20, 2011. http://www.tva.gov/news/releases/aprjun11/storm_past.htm
- 252 **The NNTF started its report with a recommendation for fundamental change:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century.” <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1118/ML111861807.pdf>
- 252 **redefine its historical safety threshold of “adequate protection”:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Recommendations for Enhancing Reactor Safety in the 21st Century,” p. ix. <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1118/ML111861807.pdf>
- 253 **order for mitigation strategies:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Issuance of Order to Modify Licenses with Regard to Requirements for Mitigation Strategies for Beyond-Design-Basis External Events,” March 12, 2012. <http://pbadupnws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1205/ML12054A735.pdf>
- 254 **the NRC staff recommended that filters be installed:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Consideration of Additional Requirements for Containment Venting Systems for Boiling Water Reactors With Mark I And Mark II Containments, SECY-12-0157, November 26, 2012. <http://nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/secys/2012/2012-0157scy.pdf>

Chapter 12. A Rapidly Closing Window of Opportunity

Page

- 255 **In March 2013, they voted 3-2 to delay a requirement that filters be installed:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “Staff Requirements: SECY-12-0157,” March 19, 2013. <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/commission/srm/2012/2012-0157srm.pdf>
- 255 **The NRC later notified Congress:** Allison Macfarlane, Chairman, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, letter to the Honorable Fred Upton, February 15, 2013. <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/congress-docs/correspondence/2013/upton-02-15-2013.pdf>
- 257 **“it is acceptable, from the standpoint of safety, to maintain the existing regulatory processes”:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, “NRC Staff Working Group Evaluation of Alternatives for the Disposition of Recommendation 1 of the Fukushima Near-Term Task Force Report,” draft, February 15, 2013. <http://pbadupws.nrc.gov/docs/ML1305/ML13053A142.pdf>
- 258 **regulatory “skepticism”:** Author interview with Peter Bradford, December 19, 2012.
- 261 **Casto was eager to offer some words of advice:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, RIC 2012 “Regional Administrators’ Session on Current Regional Issues,” March 14, 2012. <http://video.nrc.gov/>

Appendix. The Fukushima Postmortem: What Happened?

Page

- 263 **a meeting of the American Nuclear Society:** Proceedings of the American Nuclear Society International Meeting on Severe Accident Assessment and Management: Lessons Learned from Fukushima Dai-ichi,” November 11-15, 2012, San Diego, California.
- 264 **the computer code called MELCOR:** Randall Gauntt et al., “Fukushima Daiichi Accident Study (Status as of April 2012), SAND2012-6173, Sandia National Laboratories, August 2012. http://melcor.sandia.gov/docs/Fukushima_SAND_Report_final.pdf
- 264 **For Unit 1:** Randall Gauntt et al., “MELCOR Simulations of the Severe Accident at the Fukushima 1F1 Reactor,” Proceedings of the American Nuclear Society International Meeting,” op cit. p. 212.
- 264 **But even a straightforward “hands-off” blackout:** Gauntt et al., “MELCOR Simulations,” op cit. p. 219.
- 264 **A U.S. industry consultant:** D.L. Luxat et al., “EPRI Fukushima Technical Evaluation Project MAAP5 Fukushima Dai-ichi Accident Simulations,” Proceedings of the American Nuclear Society, op cit.,p. 243.

Appendix. The Fukushima Postmortem: What Happened?

Page

- 265 **In the final analysis:** K. Robb, M. Francis and L. Ott, “Fukushima Daiichi Unit 3 MELCOR Investigation,” Proceedings of the American Nuclear Society, op cit., <http://info.ornl.gov/sites/publications/files/Pub37640.pdf>
- 265 **The analysis of Unit 2:** K. Ross et al., “Interim Melcor Simulation of the Fukushima Daiichi Unit 2 Accident Reactor Core Isolation Cooling Operation,” SAND2013-9956, Sandia National Laboratories, November 2013.
- 266 **As for the Unit 4 explosion:** TEPCO (2012), op cit., p. 344-352. http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/betu12_e/images/120620e0104.pdf
- 267 **The Unit 2 spent fuel pool:** E-mail from Steve Fetter to John Holdren, et al, “High Concentration of Cs-137 Found in Unit 2 Pool,” April 11, 2011, OSTP FOIA.
- 267 **TEPCO has argued that the venting operations:** TEPCO (2012), op cit., p. 367-368. http://www.tepco.co.jp/en/press/corp-com/release/betu12_e/images/120620e0104.pdf
- 267 **However, other analysts have looked:** M. Hirano, Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization, “Post-Fukushima Research in Japan,” U.S. NRC Regulatory Information Conference, March 12, 2011.
- 268 **released to the atmosphere:** H. Hoshi, Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization, “Source Term Analysis Using the MELCOR Code,” Technical Workshop on TEPCO’s Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Station Accident, July 23-24, 2012, Tokyo, Japan. <http://www.nsr.go.jp/archive/nisa/shingikai/700/14/240723/AM-3-1.pdf>.